arXiv:1512.03336v1 [math.FA] 10 Dec 2015

ISOMORPHIC STRUCTURE OF CESÀRO AND TANDORI SPACES

SERGEY V. ASTASHKIN, KAROL LEŚNIK, AND LECH MALIGRANDA

Abstract. We investigate the isomorphic structure of the Cesàro spaces and their duals, the Tandori spaces. The main result states that the Cesàro function space Ces_∞ and its sequence counterpart ces_∞ are isomorphic, which answers to the question posted in [AM09]. This is rather surprising since Ces_∞ has no natural lattice predual similarly as the known Talagrand's example [Ta81]. We prove that neither ces_∞ is isomorphic to l_∞ nor Ces_∞ is isomorphic to the Tandori space $\widetilde{L_1}$ with the norm $\|f\|_{\widetilde{L_1}} = \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L_1}$, where $\widetilde{f}(t) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s\geq t} |f(s)|$. Our investigation involves also an examination of the Schur and Dunford-Pettis properties of Cesàro and Tandori spaces. In particular, using Bourgain's results we show that a wide class of Cesàro-Marcinkiewicz and Cesàro-Lorentz spaces have the latter property.

1. Introduction and contents

Most commonly the classical Cesàro spaces appear as optimal domains of the Cesàro (Hardy) operator or some its versions (see [DS07], [NP10], [LM15b]). Moreover, they can coincide with the so-called down spaces introduced and investigated by Sinnamon (see [KMS07], [MS06], [Si94], [Si01], [Si07]), but having their roots in the papers of Halperin and Lorentz. Comparing to the function case, there is much more rich literature devoted to Cesàro sequence spaces and their duals (see the classical paper of Bennett [Be96] and also [CH01], [CMP00], [Ja74], [KK12], [MPS07]). Development of this topic related to the weighted case including the so-called blocking technique one can find in the book [GE98].

In this paper we investigate the isomorphic structure of abstract Cesàro spaces CX and their duals, Tandori spaces $\widetilde{X}$ on three separable measure spaces $\mathbb{N}$, $[0,\infty)$ and $[0,1]$. For a Banach ideal space X of measurable functions on $I=[0,\infty)$ or $I=[0,1]$, CX is defined as the space of all measurable functions f on I such that $C|f| \in X$, equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{CX} := \|C|f|\|_X$, where C denotes the Cesàro operator, i.e., $(Cf)(x) := \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,dt$ for $x \in I$. In the case of a Banach ideal sequence space X, in the definition of the Cesàro space it is used the corresponding discrete Cesàro operator $(C_d x)_n := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n x_k$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Study of abstract Cesàro function spaces, under this name, started in the paper [LM15a], where a description of their Köthe duals by the so-called Tandori spaces was found. It is worth to note here that the obtained results substantially differ in the cases $I=[0,\infty)$ and $I=[0,1]$. Continuing the same direction of research, in [LM16], the authors have examined interpolation properties of these spaces.

*The first author has been partially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and the second author has been partially supported by the grant 04/43/DSPB/0086 from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

[1]2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E30, 46B20, 46B42.

[2]*Key words and phrases*: Cesàro and Tandori sequence spaces, Cesàro and Tandori function spaces, Cesàro operator, Banach ideal spaces, symmetric spaces, Schur property, Dunford-Pettis property, isomorphisms.

Investigation of the isomorphic structure of classical Cesàro function spaces $Ces_p := CL_p$ was initiated in the paper [AM09] (see also [AM14]); at the same time, studying classical Cesàro sequence spaces $ces_p := Cl_p$ started much earlier (see [MPS07] and the references cited therein). Among other things, in [AM09], the existence of an isomorphism between the spaces $Ces_p[0,\infty)$ and $Ces_p[0,1]$ for all $1 < p \leq \infty$ has been proved. On the other hand, $Ces_p(I)$ and ces_p for any $1 < p < \infty$ are clearly not isomorphic because, in contrast to ces_p, the space $Ces_p(I)$ is not reflexive.

Therefore, the only remained question (already formulated in [AM09] and [AM14]) was whether Ces_∞ is isomorphic to ces_∞ or not. Theorem 13, one of the main results of the present paper, solves this problem in affirmative. It is instructive to compare this result with the well-known Pełczyński theorem on the existence of an isomorphism between the spaces L_∞ and l_∞ [Pe58] and also with Leung's result which showed, using Pełczyński decomposition method, that the weak L_p–spaces: $L_{p,\infty}[0,1]$, $L_{p,\infty}[0,\infty)$ and $l_{p,\infty}$ for every $1 < p < \infty$ are all isomorphic [Le93].

On the other hand, we prove that ces_∞ and $Ces_\infty(I)$ are not isomorphic to l_∞, and to the Tandori space $\widetilde{L_1}(I)$ with the norm $\|f\|_{\widetilde{L_1}} = \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L_1}$, where $\widetilde{f}(t) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s\in I,\, s\geq t} |f(s)|$, respectively. Moreover, if X is a reflexive symmetric space on $[0,1]$ and the Cesàro operator C is bounded on X, then CX is not isomorphic to any symmetric space on $[0,1]$. The main tool in proving these results is coming from the fact that either of the Cesàro spaces ces_∞ and $Ces_\infty(I)$ contains a complemented copy of $L_1[0,1]$ (see Proposition 1) but the other ones do not. We make use also of a characterization due to Hagler-Stegall [HS73] of dual Banach spaces containing complemented copies of $L_1[0,1]$ and Cembranos-Mendoza result [CM08], stated that the mixed-norm space $l_\infty(l_1)$ contains a complemented copy of $L_1[0,1]$ while the space $l_1(l_\infty)$ does not.

Along with the isomorphic structure of abstract Cesàro and Tandori spaces we study in this paper also their Schur and Dunford-Pettis properties being isomorphic invariants. In particular, we are able to find a new rather natural Banach space non-isomorhic to l_1 with the Schur property, namely, the sequence Tandori space $\widetilde{l_1}$ with the norm $\|(a_k)\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} = \|(\widetilde{a_k})\|_{l_1}$, where $\widetilde{a_k} := \sup_{i\geq k} |a_i|$. Regarding the Dunford-Pettis property we note that, generally, it is not easy to find out whether a given space has this property. We apply here a deep Bourgain's result [Bo81], which shows that every l_∞-sum of L_1-spaces has the Dunford-Pettis property. Basing on this, Bourgain deduced also that the spaces of vector-valued functions $L_1(\mu, C(K))$ and $C(K, L_1(\mu))$, where μ and K are a σ-finite measure and any compact Hausdorff set, respectively, and their duals have the Dunford-Pettis property. Using these facts and a suitable description of Cesàro and Tandori spaces, obtained in this paper, we prove that $Ces_\infty(I), \widetilde{L_1}(I)$ and, under some conditions on dilation indices of a function φ, Cesàro-Marcinkiewicz spaces $CM_\varphi[0,\infty)$, their separable parts $C(M^0_\varphi)(I)$, Cesàro-Lorentz spaces $C\Lambda_\varphi(I)$ as well as Tandori-Lorentz spaces $\widetilde{\Lambda_\varphi}(I)$ in both cases $I = [0,\infty)$ and $I = [0,1]$ all enjoy the Dunford-Pettis property. Recall that, in [KM00], Kamińska and Mastyło proved that l_1, c_0 and l_∞ are the only symmetric sequence spaces with the Dunford-Pettis property and there exist exactly six non-isomorphic symmetric spaces on $[0,\infty)$ enjoying the latter property: $L_1, L_\infty, L_1 \cap L_\infty, L_1 + L_\infty$ and the closures of $L_1 \cap L_\infty$ in L_∞ and in $L_1 + L_\infty$.

The paper is organized as follows. Following this Introduction, Section 2 collects some necessary preliminaries, firstly, on Banach ideal and symmetric spaces and, secondly, on Cesàro and Tandori spaces. Here, we recall also Theorem A related to the duality from

[LM15a] and prove Proposition 1 on the existence of a complemented copy of $L_1[0,1]$ in an arbitrary Cesàro space CX provided the Cesàro operator C is bounded in X. These results are frequently used throughout the paper.

Section 3 contains results related to studying the Schur and Dunford-Pettis properties of Tandori and Cesàro sequence spaces. We proved that $\widetilde{l_1}$ has the Schur property (Theorem 1) and ces_∞ contains a complemented copy of $L_1[0,1]$ (Theorem 3). Moreover, we investigate the conditions under which Cesàro-Marcinkiewicz and Cesàro-Lorentz sequence spaces and also their duals have the Dunford-Pettis property (see Theorems 4 and 5). Finally, we show that the spaces CX and $\widetilde{X}$ fail to have the Dunford-Pettis property whenever a symmetric sequence space X is reflexive and the discrete Cesàro operator is bounded in X or in X', respectively (Theorem 6).

Section 4 deals with the Dunford-Pettis property of Cesàro and Tandori function spaces. It is proved that, under the assumption $q_\varphi < 1$, both Tandori-Lorentz space $\widetilde{\Lambda_\varphi}[0,\infty)$ and Cesàro-Marcinkiewicz space $CM_\varphi[0,\infty)$ have the Dunford-Pettis property (Theorem 7). In particular, two non-isomorphic spaces $Ces_\infty(I)$ and $\widetilde{L_1}(I)$ have the latter property (see Theorem 8). Similar result holds also for the separable parts of the Cesàro-Marcinkiewicz spaces $CM_\varphi[0,\infty)$ and $CM_\varphi[0,1]$ provided $\lim_{t\to 0^+}\varphi(t)=0$ and $q_\varphi<1$ or $q_\varphi^0<1$, respectively (Theorem 9 and Theorem 11). Moreover, if X is a reflexive symmetric function space satisfying some conditions, then CX and $\widetilde{X}$ fail to have the Dunford-Pettis property (Theorem 12).

The last Section 5 contains one of the main results of the paper, showing that the spaces Ces_∞ and ces_∞ are isomorphic (Theorem 13). This gives a positive answer to the question posed in [AM09, Problem 1] and repeated in [AM14, Problem 4]. An interesting consequence of this result is the fact that the space Ces_∞ is isomorphic to a dual space although $[(Ces_\infty)']^0 = (\widetilde{L_1})^0 = \{0\}$ (Corollary 8) and so there is no natural candidate for its predual (for ces_∞, however, the predual is $\widetilde{l_1}$ because $(\widetilde{l_1})^* = (\widetilde{l_1})' = ces_\infty$). We explain that this phenomenon has its counterpart in the general theory of Banach lattices, discussing its relation to Lotz's result [Lo75] and to Talagrand's example of a separable Banach lattice being a dual space (and hence having the Radon-Nikodym property) such that for each $x^* \in E^*$, the interval $[0,|x^*|]$ is not weakly compact [Ta81] (see Proposition 5). Finally, we prove that $Ces_\infty(I)$ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}[0,1])_{l_\infty}$, where $\mathcal{M}[0,1]$ is the space of regular Borel measures on $[0,1]$ of finite variation (Theorem 14).

2. Definitions and basic facts

2.1. **Banach ideal spaces and symmetric spaces.** By $L^0 = L^0(I)$ we denote the set of all equivalence classes of real-valued Lebesgue measurable functions defined on $I=[0,1]$ or $I=[0,\infty)$. A *Banach ideal space* $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ on I is understood as a Banach space contained in $L^0(I)$, which satisfies the so-called ideal property: if $f,g \in L^0(I), |f| \le |g|$ almost everywhere (a.e.) with respect to the Lebesgue measure on I and $g \in X$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f\| \le \|g\|$. Sometimes we write $\|\cdot\|_X$ to be sure which norm in the space is taken. If it is not stated otherwise we suppose that a Banach ideal space X contains a function $f \in X$ with $f(x) > 0$ a.e. on I (such a function is called the *weak unit* in X), which implies that $\mathrm{supp} X = I$. Similarly we define a Banach ideal sequence space (i.e., on $I=\mathbb{N}$ with the counting measure).

Since an inclusion of two Banach ideal spaces is continuous, we prefer to write in this case $X \hookrightarrow Y$ rather that $X \subset Y$. Moreover, the symbol $X \overset{A}{\hookrightarrow} Y$ indicates that $X \hookrightarrow Y$ with the norm of the inclusion operator not bigger than A, i.e., $\|f\|_Y \le A\|f\|_X$ for all $f \in X$. Also, $X = Y$ (resp. $X \equiv Y$) means that the spaces X and Y have the same elements with equivalent (resp. equal) norms. By $X \simeq Y$ we denote the fact that the Banach spaces X and Y are isomorphic.

For a Banach ideal space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ on I the *Köthe dual space* (or *associated space*) X' is the space of all $f \in L^0(I)$ such that the *associated norm*

$$\|f\|' = \sup_{g \in X,\, \|g\|_X \le 1} \int_I |f(x)g(x)|\, dx \tag{2.1}$$

is finite. The Köthe dual $X' = (X', \|\cdot\|')$ is a Banach ideal space. Moreover, $X \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} X''$ and we have equality $X = X''$ with $\|f\| = \|f\|''$ if and only if the norm in X has the *Fatou property*, that is, if the conditions $0 \le f_n \nearrow f$ a.e. on I and $\sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \|f_n\| < \infty$ imply that $f \in X$ and $\|f_n\| \nearrow \|f\|$.

For a Banach ideal space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ on I with the Köthe dual X' the following *generalized Hölder-Rogers inequality* holds: if $f \in X$ and $g \in X'$, then fg is integrable and

$$\int_I |f(x)g(x)|\, dx \le \|f\|_X \, \|g\|_{X'} \tag{2.2}$$

A function f in a Banach ideal space X on I is said to have an *order continuous norm* in X if for any decreasing sequence of Lebesgue measurable sets $A_n \subset I$ with $m(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0$, where m is the Lebesgue measure, we have $\|f\chi_{A_n}\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The set of all functions in X with order continuous norm is denoted by X^0. If $X^0 = X$, then the space X is said to be *order continuous*. For an order continuous Banach ideal space X the Köthe dual X' and the dual space X^* coincide. Moreover, a Banach ideal space X with the Fatou property is reflexive if and only if both X and its Köthe dual X' are order continuous.

For a weight $w(x)$, i.e., a measurable function on I with $0 < w(x) < \infty$ a.e. and for a Banach ideal space X on I, the *weighted Banach ideal space* $X(w)$ is defined as the set $X(w) = \{f \in L^0 : fw \in X\}$ with the norm $\|f\|_{X(w)} = \|fw\|_X$. Of course, $X(w)$ is also a Banach ideal space and $[X(w)]' \equiv X'(1/w)$.

A Banach ideal space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is said to be a *symmetric* (or *rearrangement invariant*) space on I if from the conditions $f \in X$, $g \in L^0(I)$ and the equality $d_f(\lambda) = d_g(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda > 0$, where

$$d_f(\lambda) := m(\{x \in I : |f(x)| > \lambda\}), \lambda \ge 0,$$

it follows that $g \in X$ and $\|f\|_X = \|g\|_X$. In particular, $\|f\|_X = \|f^*\|_X$, where $f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \colon\ d_f(\lambda) < t\},\ t \ge 0$.

For a symmetric function space X on I its fundamental function φ_X is defined as follows

$$\varphi_X(t) = \|\chi_{[0,t]}\|_X,\ t > 0,$$

where by χ_E throughout will be denoted the characteristic function of a set E.

Let us recall some classical examples of symmetric spaces. Each increasing concave function φ on $I, \varphi(0) = 0$, generates the *Lorentz space* Λ_φ (resp. *Marcinkiewicz space* M_φ)

on I endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\varphi} = \int_I f^*(s)\, d\varphi(s).$$

(resp.

$$\|f\|_{M_\varphi} = \sup_{t\in I} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s)\, ds). \tag{2.3}$$

In the case $\varphi(t) = t^{1/p}, 1 < p < \infty$, the Marcinkiewicz space is also called the weak-L_p space (shortly denoted by $L_{p,\infty}$) and the norm (2.3) is equivalent to the quasi-norm $\|f\|_{L_{p,\infty}} = \sup_{t\in I} t^{1/p} f^*(t)$. In general, a space M_φ is not separable (for example, when $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{t}{\varphi(t)} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = 0$), but the spaces

$$\{f \in M_\varphi : \lim_{t\to 0^+,\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s)\, ds = 0\} \text{ in the case } I = [0,\infty)$$

and

$$\{f \in M_\varphi : \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s)\, ds = 0\} \text{ in the case } I = [0,1]$$

with the norm (2.3) are the separable symmetric spaces which, in fact, coincide with the space M_φ^0 on $I = [0,\infty)$ or $I = [0,1]$, respectively, provided $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \varphi(t) = 0$ (cf. [KPS82, pp. 115-116]).

Let Φ be an increasing convex function on $[0,\infty)$ such that $\Phi(0) = 0$. Denote by L_Φ the *Orlicz space* on I (see e.g. [KR61], [Ma89]) endowed with the Luxemburg-Nakano norm

$$\|f\|_{L_\Phi} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \int_I \Phi(|f(x)|/\lambda)\, dx \le 1\}.$$

For a given symmetric space X with the fundamental function φ (every such a function is equivalent to a concave function) we have

$$\Lambda_\varphi \overset{2}{\hookrightarrow} X \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} M_\varphi \text{ and } (M_\varphi)' = \Lambda_\psi \text{ with } \psi(t) = \frac{t}{\varphi(t)}, t > 0.$$

Similarly one can define Banach ideal and symmetric sequence spaces and all the above notions. In particular, the fundamental function of a symmetric sequence space X is the function $\varphi_X(n) = \|\sum_{k=1}^n e_k\|_X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is the canonical basic sequence of X. Moreover, the *Lorentz sequence space* λ_φ (resp. *Marcinkiewicz sequence space* m_φ) is defined as the space of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, for which the following norm is finite

$$\|x\|_{\lambda_\varphi} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*(\varphi(k+1) - \varphi(k))$$

(resp.

$$\|x\|_{m_\varphi} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*), \tag{2.4}$$

where φ is an increasing concave function on $[0,\infty)$ and (x_k^*) is the decreasing rearrangement of the sequence $(|x_k|)_{k=1}^\infty$. In the case $\varphi(n) = n^{1/p}, 1 < p < \infty$, the Marcinkiewicz space m_φ is also called the weak–l_p space (shortly denoted by $l_{p,\infty}$) and the norm (2.4) is equivalent to the quasi-norm $\|x\|_{l_{p,\infty}} = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} k^{1/p} x_k^*$.

The *dilation operators* σ_s $(s>0)$ defined on $L^0(I)$ by $\sigma_s f(x)=f(x/s)$ if $I=[0,\infty)$ and $\sigma_s f(x)=f(x/s)\chi_{[0,\min(1,s)]}(x)$ if $I=[0,1]$ are bounded in any symmetric space X on I and $\|\sigma_s\|_{X\to X}\le\max(1,s)$ (see [BS88, p. 148] and [KPS82, pp. 96-98]). These operators are also bounded in some Banach ideal spaces which are not symmetric. For example, if $X=L_p(x^\alpha)$, then $\|\sigma_s\|_{X\to X}=s^{1/p+\alpha}$ (see [Ru80] for more examples). The *Boyd indices* of a symmetric space X are defined by

$$\alpha_X=\lim_{s\to 0^+}\frac{\ln\|\sigma_s\|_{X\to X}}{\ln s},\beta_X=\lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{\ln\|\sigma_s\|_{X\to X}}{\ln s},$$

and we have $0\le\alpha_X\le\beta_X\le 1$ (cf. [KPS82, pp. 96-98] and [LT79, p. 139]).

For every $m\in\mathbb{N}$ let σ_m and $\sigma_{1/m}$ be the *dilation operators* defined in spaces of sequences $a=(a_n)$ by:

$$\sigma_m a=((\sigma_m a)_n)_{n=1}^\infty=\big(a_{[\frac{m-1+n}{m}]}\big)_{n=1}^\infty=\big(\overbrace{a_1,a_1,\dots,a_1}^{m},\overbrace{a_2,a_2,\dots,a_2}^{m},\dots\big)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{1/m}a &= \big((\sigma_{1/m}a)_n\big)_{n=1}^\infty=\Big(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=(n-1)m+1}^{nm}a_k\Big)_{n=1}^\infty\\ &= \big(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{m}a_k,\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=m+1}^{2m}a_k,\dots,\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=(n-1)m+1}^{nm}a_k,\dots\big)\end{aligned}$$

(cf. [LT79, p. 131] and [KPS82, p. 165]). They are bounded in any symmetric sequence space and also in some non-symmetric Banach ideal sequence spaces; for example, $\|\sigma_m\|_{l_p(n^\alpha)\to l_p(n^\alpha)}\le m^{1/p}\max(1,m^\alpha)$ and $\|\sigma_{1/m}\|_{l_p(n^\alpha)\to l_p(n^\alpha)}\le m^{-1/p}\max(1,m^{-\alpha})$.

The *lower index* p_φ *and upper index* q_φ of an arbitrary positive function φ on $[0,\infty)$ are defined as

$$p_\varphi=\lim_{s\to 0^+}\frac{\ln\overline{\varphi}(s)}{\ln s},\ q_\varphi=\lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{\ln\overline{\varphi}(s)}{\ln s},\ \text{where}\ \ \overline{\varphi}(s)=\sup_{t>0}\frac{\varphi(st)}{\varphi(t)}.\tag{2.5}$$

It is known (see, for example, [KPS82] and [Ma85, Ma89]) that for a concave function φ on $[0,\infty)$ we have $0\le p_\varphi\le q_\varphi\le 1$. Moreover, the estimate

$$\int_0^t\frac{1}{\varphi(s)}\,ds\le C\frac{t}{\varphi(t)}\ \text{for all}\ \ t>0\tag{2.6}$$

is equivalent to the condition $q_\varphi<1$ (cf. [KPS82, Lemma 1.4], [Ma85, Theorem 11.8] and [Ma89, Theorem 6.4(a)]).

If an increasing concave function φ is defined on $[0,1]$ (resp. on $[1,\infty)$), then the corresponding indices p_φ^0,q_φ^0 (resp. $p_\varphi^\infty,q_\varphi^\infty$) are the numbers defined as limits in (2.5), where instead of $\overline{\varphi}$ we take the function $\overline{\varphi}^0(s)=\sup_{0<t\le\min(1,1/s)}\frac{\varphi(st)}{\varphi(t)}$ (resp. $\overline{\varphi}^\infty(s)=\sup_{t\ge\max(1,1/s)}\frac{\varphi(st)}{\varphi(t)}$). Of course, $0\le p_\varphi^0\le q_\varphi^0\le 1$ (resp. $0\le p_\varphi^\infty\le q_\varphi^\infty\le 1$) and estimate (2.6) for all $0<t\le 1$ is equivalent to the condition $q_\varphi^0<1$.

If X_n, $n=1,2,\dots$, are Banach spaces and $1\le p\le\infty$, then $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty X_n)_{l_p}$ is the Banach space of all sequences $\{x_n\}$, $x_n\in X_n$, $n=1,2,\dots$, such that

$$\|\{x_n\}\|:=\Big(\sum_{n=1}^\infty\|x_n\|^p\Big)^{1/p}<\infty,$$

with natural modification in the case when p is infinite.

For general properties of Banach ideal and symmetric spaces we refer to the books [BS88], [KA77], [KPS82], [LT79] and [Ma89].

2.2. **Cesàro and Tandori spaces.** The Cesàro and Copson operators C and C^* are defined, respectively, as

$$Cf(x) = \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,dt, 0 < x \in I \text{ and } C^*f(x) = \int_{I\cap[x,\infty)} \frac{f(t)}{t}\,dt, x \in I.$$

By $\widetilde{f}$ we will understand the decreasing majorant of a given function f, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in I,\, t\geq x} |f(t)|.$$

For a Banach ideal space X on I we define the *abstract Cesàro space* $CX = CX(I)$ as

$$CX = \{f \in L^0(I) : C|f| \in X\} \text{ with the norm } \|f\|_{CX} = \|C|f|\|_X, \tag{2.7}$$

and the *abstract Tandori space* $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}(I)$ as

$$\widetilde{X} = \{f \in L^0(I) : \widetilde{f} \in X\} \text{ with the norm } \|f\|_{\widetilde{X}} = \|\widetilde{f}\|_X. \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, if $X = L_p, 1 < p \leq \infty$, we come to classical Cesàro spaces $Ces_p = CL_p$, which were investigated in [AM08], [AM09], [AM13], [AM14]. Note, that the case $p = 1$ is not interesting here because it is easy to see that $Ces_1[0,\infty) = \{0\}$ and $Ces_1[0,1] = L_1(\ln\frac{1}{t})$. The space $Ces_\infty[0,1]$ appeared already in 1948 and it is known as the Korenblyum-Krein-Levin space (see [KKL48], [LZ66] and [Wn99]).

It is clear that

$$\widetilde{X} \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} X, \text{ and } X \overset{A}{\hookrightarrow} CX \text{ provided } C \text{ is bounded on } X \text{ with } A = \|C\|_{X\to X}. \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, if X is a symmetric space on I, then for every $0 < a < b, b \in I$ we have

$$\|\chi_{[a,b]}\|_{\widetilde{X}} = \|\widetilde{\chi_{[a,b]}}\|_X = \|\chi_{[0,b]}\|_X = \varphi_X(b). \tag{2.10}$$

In the sequence case the discrete Cesàro and Copson operators C_d and C_d^* are defined by

$$(C_d a)_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \text{ and } (C_d^* a)_n = \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{a_k}{k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and also the decreasing majorant $\widetilde{a} = (\widetilde{a_n})$ of a given sequence $a = (a_n)$ by

$$\widetilde{a_n} = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N},\, k\geq n} |a_k|.$$

Then the corresponding *abstract Cesàro sequence space* CX and *abstract Tandori sequence space* $\widetilde{X}$ are defined similarly as in (2.7) and (2.8). Again a lot is known about classical Cesàro sequence spaces $ces_p := Cl_p, 1 < p \leq \infty$ (cf. [AM08], [AM13], [MPS07] and references given there).

Abstract Cesàro and Copson spaces were investigated in [LM15a], [LM15b], where the following results on their Köthe duality were proved (cf. [LM15a, Theorems 3, 5 and 6]).

Theorem A. *(i) If X is a Banach ideal space on $I = [0,\infty)$ such that the Cesàro operator C and the dilation operator σ_τ for some $\tau \in (0,1)$ are bounded on X, then*

$$(CX)' = \widetilde{X'}. \tag{2.11}$$

(ii) If X is a symmetric space on $[0,1]$ with the Fatou property such that the operators $C, C^* : X \to X$ are bounded, then

$$(CX)' = \widetilde{X'(w_1)}, \text{ where } w_1(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}, \ x \in [0,1).$$

(iii) If X is a Banach ideal sequence space such that the dilation operator σ_3 is bounded on X', then

$$(CX)' = \widetilde{X'}. \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, in the extreme case when $X = L_\infty(I)$ the above duality results hold with the equality of norms.

The corresponding results on the Köthe duality of the classical spaces $Ces_p(I)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ were proved in [AM09] (see also [KK12]) showing a surprising difference of them in the cases $I = [0,\infty)$ and $I = [0,1]$. Much earlier, the identifications $(Ces_\infty[0,1])' \equiv \widetilde{L_1}[0,1]$ and $(Ces^0_\infty[0,1])^* \equiv \tilde{L}_1[0,1]$ were obtained by Luxemburg and Zaanen [LZ66] and by Tandori [Ta55], respectively. A simple proof of the latter results both for $I = [0,1]$ and $I = [0,\infty)$ was given in [LM15a]. Moreover, according to Theorem 7 from the above paper, if w is a weight on I such that $W(x) = \int_0^x w(t)\,dt < \infty$ for any $x \in I$, then setting $v(x) = x/W(x)$ we obtain

$$(Ces_\infty(v))' := [C(L_\infty(v))]' \equiv \widetilde{L_1(w)}. \tag{2.13}$$

A close identification for weighted Cesàro sequence spaces follows from a result by Alexiewicz [Al57], who showed in 1957 that for a weight $w = (w_n)$ with $w_n \geq 0, w_1 > 0$, we have

$$\left(\widetilde{l_1(w)}\right)' \equiv ces_\infty(v) := C(l_\infty(v)), \text{ where } v(n) = \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}. \tag{2.14}$$

In particular, using the Fatou property of the space $\widetilde{l_1(w)}$, from (2.14) we infer

$$(ces_\infty(v))' \equiv \left(\widetilde{l_1(w)}\right)'' \equiv \widetilde{l_1(w)}. \tag{2.15}$$

In [LM15a, Theorem 1(d)]), it was shown that if a Banach ideal space X has the Fatou property, then the Cesàro and Tandori function spaces CX and $\widetilde{X}$ also have it. Moreover, if a space X is order continuous, then the Cesàro function space CX is order continuous as well (cf. [LM15b, Lemma 1]). However, the Tandori function space $\widetilde{X}$ is never order continuous (cf. [LM15a, Theorem 1(e)]), which implies immediately that this space contains an isomorphic copy of l_∞.

Next, we repeatedly make use of the fact that every Cesàro function spaces CX contains a complemented copy of $L_1[0,1]$.

Proposition 1. *If X is a Banach ideal function space on $I = [0,1]$ or $I = [0,\infty)$ such that the operator C is bounded on X, then CX contains a complemented copy of $L_1[0,1]$. Moreover, if $\chi_{[0,a]} \in X$ for $0 < a < 1$, then $\widetilde{X} \neq \{0\}$ and contains a complemented copy of $L_\infty[0,1]$.*

Proof. Suppose that $I = [0,1]$. Since $\operatorname{supp} X = I$ and the operator C is bounded on X, then $\chi_{[a,1]} \in X$ for any $0 < a < 1$. In fact, let $f_0 \in X$ with $f_0(x) > 0$ a.e. on I. Then,

$f_0\chi_{[0,a]} \in X$ and $\int_0^a f_0(t)\,dt = c > 0$. Therefore, from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} C(f_0\chi_{[0,a]})(x) &\geq \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f_0(t)\chi_{[0,a]}(t)dt \geq \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f_0(t)\chi_{[0,a]}(t)dt\cdot\chi_{[a,1]}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^a f_0(t)dt\cdot\chi_{[a,1]}(x) \geq c\,\chi_{[a,1]}(x),\ 0<x\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and from the boundedness of C on X it follows that $\chi_{[a,1]} \in X$. Now, for $0 < a < b < 1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} C(f\chi_{[a,b]})(x) &= \frac{1}{x}\int_a^x |f(t)|\,dt\,\chi_{[a,b]}(x) + \frac{1}{x}\int_a^b |f(t)|\,dt\,\chi_{[b,1]}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a}\int_a^b |f(t)|\,dt\left[\chi_{[a,b]}(x)+\chi_{[b,1]}(x)\right] = \frac{1}{a}\,\|f\|_{L_1[a,b]}\cdot\chi_{[a,1]}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C(f\chi_{[a,b]})(x) &= \frac{1}{x}\int_a^x |f(t)|\chi_{[a,b]}(t)\,dt \geq \frac{1}{x}\int_a^x |f(t)|\chi_{[a,b]}(t)\,dt\cdot\chi_{[b,1]}(x) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{x}\int_a^b |f(t)|\,dt\cdot\chi_{[b,1]}(x) = \|f\|_{L_1[a,b]}\cdot\chi_{[b,1]}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d\,\|f\|_{L_1[a,b]} \leq \|f\chi_{[a,b]}\|_{CX} \leq \frac{D}{a}\|f\|_{L_1[a,b]},$$

where $d = \|\chi_{[b,1]}\|_X$ and $D = \|\chi_{[a,1]}\|_X$ are finite. Therefore, $CX|_{[a,b]} \simeq L_1[a,b] \simeq L_1[0,1]$ and, since the projection $P : f \mapsto f\chi_{[a,b]}$ is bounded, the first claim of the proposition is proved if $I = [0,1]$. The case $I = [0,\infty)$ can be treated in the same way, only the norm $\|\chi_{[b,1]}\|_X$ should be replaced with $\|\frac{1}{x}\,\chi_{[b,\infty)}(x)\|_X$.

Regarding to the space $\widetilde{X}$ we note that under the conditions imposed on X, by (2.10), we have

$$\widetilde{f\chi_{[a,b]}} \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty[a,b]}\cdot\widetilde{\chi_{[a,b]}} = \|f\|_{L_\infty[a,b]}\cdot\chi_{[0,b]}$$

and conversely

$$\widetilde{f\chi_{[a,b]}} \geq \widetilde{f\chi_{[a,b]}}\cdot\chi_{[0,a]} = \|f\|_{L_\infty[a,b]}\cdot\chi_{[0,a]},$$

whence

$$\|\chi_{[0,a]}\|_X\,\|f\|_{L_\infty[a,b]} \leq \|f\chi_{[a,b]}\|_{\widetilde{X}} \leq \|\chi_{[0,b]}\|_X\,\|f\|_{L_\infty[a,b]}.$$

Thus, the image of the same projection $Pf = f\,\chi_{[a,b]}$ is isomorphic to $L_\infty[0,1]$. Since P is bounded, the proof is complete. □

3. On the Schur and Dunford-Pettis properties of Cesàro and Tandori sequence spaces

A Banach space X is said to have the *Dunford-Pettis property* if, for all sequences $x_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in X and $x_n^* \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in X^*, we have $\langle x_n^*, x_n\rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ or, equivalently, that any weakly compact operator $T : X \to Y$, where Y is an arbitrary Banach space, is completely continuous (i.e., from $x_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ it follows that $T(x_n)$ converges to 0 in the norm of Y). Examples of spaces satisfying the Dunford-Pettis property are $l_1, c_0, l_\infty, L_1(\mu), L_\infty(\mu)$ for every σ-finite measure μ and $C(K), C(K)^* = \mathcal{M}(K)$ for arbitrary compact Hausdorff set K (cf. [AK06, pp. 116-117] and [Li04, pp. 57-67]). It is well-known that infinite dimensional reflexive spaces fail to have the Dunford-Pettis property. Moreover, if a dual

space X^* has the Dunford-Pettis property then so does X (the reverse implication is not true) and complemented subspaces of spaces with the Dunford-Pettis property also have it.

Recall that a Banach space X has the *Schur property* if for any sequence $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X we have $\|x_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ or, equivalently, if every weakly compact operator from X to arbitrary Banach space Y is compact. Of course, spaces with the Schur property have also the Dunford-Pettis property. Even though it has been known since the famous Banach's book [Ba32, pp. 137-139] was published that the space l_1 has the Schur property, only a few natural infinite dimensional spaces enjoying it were found.

A survey of results related to the Dunford-Pettis property and the Schur property one can find in [Di80] and [Wn93], respectively (see also [CG94]).

We start with proving the Schur property in the space $\widetilde{l_1}$. Note that $\widetilde{l_1}$ is not isomorphic to l_1. In fact, $\{\frac{1}{n}e_n\}$ is a normalized unconditional basis in $\widetilde{l_1}$. On the other hand, l_1 has unique unconditional structure, i.e., each normalized unconditional basis in l_1 is equivalent to $\{e_n\}$ (cf. [LT77, Theorem 2.b.9]). Therefore, if we assume that $\widetilde{l_1}$ is isomorphic to l_1, then $\{\frac{1}{n}e_n\}$ would be equivalent to $\{e_n\}$. But it is not the case since we have both $\|\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{n}e_n\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \approx \ln k$ and $\|\sum_{n=1}^k e_n\|_{l_1} = k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1. *The space $\widetilde{l_1}$ has the Schur property.*

Proof. First, using (2.14) and the fact that $\widetilde{l_1}$ has an order continuous norm (cf. [LM15b]) we obtain $(\widetilde{l_1})^* = (\widetilde{l_1})' = ces_\infty$. Now, let $\|x^{(n)}\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \leq 1$ with $x^{(n)} \to 0$ weakly in $\widetilde{l_1}$ as $n \to \infty$. By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem the closed unit ball B in ces_∞ is w^*-compact and metrizable, so, in particular, it is a w^*-complete metric space. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we put

$$B_m = \bigcap_{n \geq m} \{f \in B : |\langle f, x^{(n)}\rangle| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then the sets B_m are w^*-closed, $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \ldots$ and $B = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty B_m$. Thus, by the Baire theorem, there are $N, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $g = (g_k) \in B_{m_1}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$U := \{f = (f_k) \in B : |f_k - g_k| < \delta, 1 \leq k \leq N\} \subset B_{m_1}.$$

Consequently, $U \subset B_m$ for each $m \geq m_1$. Fix $N_1 > N$ such that

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^N |g_k|}{N_1} < \varepsilon. \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly, the weak convergence of $\{x^{(n)}\}$ implies the coordinate convergence, so that there is $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq m_2$

$$\|x^{(n)}\chi_{[1,N_1)}\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{3.2}$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $f^{(n)} \in B$ such that

$$\|x^{(n)}\chi_{[N_1,\infty)}\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} = \langle f^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\chi_{[N_1,\infty)}\rangle.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\operatorname{supp} f^{(n)} \subset [N_1, \infty)$. Setting

$$g^{(n)} = g\chi_{[1,N)} + (1-\varepsilon)f^{(n)},$$

we will show that $g^{(n)} \in U$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $g_k^{(n)} = g_k$ for each $1 \le k \le N$, it is enough to check only that $\|g^{(n)}\|_{ces_\infty} \le 1$. We have

$$C|g^{(n)}|(j) = \begin{cases} C|g|(j), & \text{if } j < N, \\ \frac{1}{j}\sum_{k=1}^{N} |g_k|, & \text{if } N \le j < N_1 \\ \frac{1}{j}(\sum_{k=1}^{N} |g_k| + (1-\varepsilon)\sum_{k=N_1}^{j} |f_k^{(n)}|), & \text{if } j \ge N_1. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, from (3.1) it follows that $C|g^{(n)}|(j) \le 1$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $g^{(n)} \in U$ and therefore $g^{(n)} \in B_m$ if $m > m_1$. Finally, applying (3.2), for $n \ge m_0 := \max\{m_1, m_2\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{(n)}\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} &\le \|x^{(n)}\chi_{[1,N_1)}\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} + \|x^{(n)}\chi_{[N_1,\infty)}\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \le \varepsilon + |\sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} x_k^{(n)} f_k^{(n)}| \\ &= \varepsilon + \Big|\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\Big((1-\varepsilon)\sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} x_k^{(n)} f_k^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k^{(n)} g_k\Big) - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k^{(n)} g_k\Big| \\ &\le \varepsilon + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^{(n)} g_k^{(n)}| + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\|x^{(n)}\chi_{[1,N)}\|_{\widetilde{l^1}}\|g\|_{ces_\infty} \\ &= \varepsilon + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}|\langle g^n, x^n\rangle| + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\|x^{(n)}\chi_{[1,N)}\|_{\widetilde{l^1}}\|g\|_{ces_\infty} \le \varepsilon + \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x^{(n)}\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} = 0$, as desired. □

Corollary 1. *The space ces_∞^0 has the Dunford-Pettis property.*

Proof. From (2.15) we have $(ces_\infty^0)^* = (ces_\infty^0)' = \widetilde{l_1}$ and by the fact that a Banach space has the Dunford-Pettis property whenever its dual space has it, the result follows from Theorem 1. □

Although the spaces $\widetilde{l_1}$ and l_1 are not isomorphic, l_1 is isomorphic to a subspace of $\widetilde{l_1}$ and so $\widetilde{l_1}$ can be treated as an extension of l_1 with preserving the Schur property.

Theorem 2. *The basic sequence $\{2^{-i}e_{2^i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is equivalent in the space $\widetilde{l_1}$ to the canonical l_1-basis.*

Proof. We prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_i \ge 0, i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\frac{1}{36}\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i \le \Big\|\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i 2^{-i} e_{2^i}\Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \le \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i.$$

Since $\|e_m\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} = m$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the right-hand side inequality is obvious. Thus, it is enough to check only the opposite inequality.

We choose the subset of indices from the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ according to the following procedure. Let $k_0 = n$. If $2^{-k_0}c_{k_0} \ge 2^{-k}c_k$ for each $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$, we put $I = \{k_0\}$ and finish. Otherwise, we define

$$k_1 := \max\{k = 0, \ldots, n-1 : 2^{-k_0}c_{k_0} < 2^{-k}c_k\}.$$

Similarly, if $2^{-k_1}c_{k_1} \ge 2^{-k}c_k$ for every $k = 0, \ldots, k_1 - 1$, we set $I = \{k_0, k_1\}$ and finish. Otherwise, let

$$k_2 := \max\{k = 0, \ldots, k_1 - 1 : 2^{-k_1}c_{k_1} < 2^{-k}c_k\}.$$

Proceeding in the same way, we construct the set

$$I = \{k_i\}_{i=0}^{l} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, \;\; n = k_0 > k_1 > \cdots > k_l \geq 0$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$2^{-k_i} c_{k_i} \geq 2^{-k} c_k \;\text{ if }\; k_{i+1} < k \leq k_i, \tag{3.3}$$

$$2^{-k_l} c_{k_l} \geq 2^{-k} c_k \;\text{ if }\; 0 \leq k \leq k_l \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$2^{-k_i} c_{k_i} < 2^{-k_{i+1}} c_{k_{i+1}} \;\text{ for all }\; i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1. \tag{3.5}$$

Observe that from (3.3) and (3.4) it follows that

$$c_k \leq 2^{k-k_i} c_{k_i} \;\text{ if }\; k_{i+1} < k \leq k_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1,$$

and

$$c_k \leq 2^{k-k_l} c_{k_l} \;\text{ if }\; 0 \leq k \leq k_l.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=k_{i+1}}^{k_i} c_k \leq 2c_{k_i}, \;\; i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1, \;\text{ and }\; \sum_{k=0}^{k_l} c_k \leq 2c_{k_l}. \tag{3.6}$$

Further, we define the set $I_1 \subset I$ as follows:

$$I_1 = \Big\{ k_i \in I, i = 1, \dots, l-1 : \, c_{k_i} < \frac{3}{2} c_{k_{i+1}} \Big\}.$$

Then, $I_1 = \{k_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^{s}$, where $n \geq k_{i_1} > k_{i_2} > \cdots > k_{i_s}$, $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s < l$. It is easy to see that $c_{k_{i+1}} \leq \frac{2}{3} c_{k_i}$ whenever $i \neq i_j$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. Therefore, if $j = 1, \dots, s$,

$$\sum_{i=i_j}^{i_{j+1}-1} c_{k_i} \leq c_{k_{i_j}} + \sum_{i=i_j+1}^{i_{j+1}-1} \Big(\frac{2}{3}\Big)^{i-i_j-1} c_{k_{i_j+1}} \leq \frac{3}{2} c_{k_{i_j+1}} + 3c_{k_{i_j+1}} = \frac{9}{2} c_{k_{i_j+1}}, \;\; j = 1, \dots, s \tag{3.7}$$

(in what follows we set $i_{s+1} = l+1$). Moreover, if $n \notin I_1$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{i_1-1} c_{k_i} \leq 3\, c_{k_0} = 3c_n. \tag{3.8}$$

By the definition of norm in $\widetilde{l_1}$ and from inequalities (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\| \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i 2^{-i} e_{2^i} \Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} &= 2^{-k_0} c_{k_0} \cdot 2^{k_0} + (2^{-k_1} c_{k_1} - 2^{-k_0} c_{k_0})\, 2^{k_1} + \dots \\
&+ (2^{-k_{i+1}} c_{k_{i+1}} - 2^{-k_i} c_{k_i})\, 2^{k_{i+1}} + \cdots + (2^{-k_l} c_{k_l} - 2^{-k_{l-1}} c_{k_{l-1}})\, 2^{k_l} \\
&= c_{k_0} + (c_{k_1} - 2^{k_1-k_0} c_{k_0}) + \cdots + (c_{k_{i+1}} - 2^{k_{i+1}-k_i} c_{k_i}) + \dots \\
&\dots + (c_{k_l} - 2^{k_l-k_{l-1}} c_{k_{l-1}}) \\
&\geq c_n + \sum_{j=1}^{s} (c_{k_{i_j+1}} - 2^{k_{i_j+1}-k_{i_j}} c_{k_{i_j}}).
\end{aligned}$$

If $k_i \in I_1$, then, by the definition of I_1, we have

$$(c_{k_{i+1}} - 2^{k_{i+1}-k_i} c_{k_i}) \geq c_{k_{i+1}} \Big(1 - \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{k_{i+1}-k_i}\Big) \geq \frac{1}{4} c_{k_{i+1}},$$

because of $k_i > k_{i+1}$. Therefore,

$$\Big\| \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i 2^{-i} e_{2^i} \Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \geq c_n + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_{k_{i_j+1}}. \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, by (3.6)–(3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sum_{k=k_{i+1}+1}^{k_i} c_k + \sum_{k=0}^{k_l} c_k \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{l} c_{k_i} \\ &\leq 2\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{i_1-1} c_{k_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{i=i_j}^{i_{j+1}-1} c_{k_i} \Big) \leq 9 \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_{k_{i_j+1}} + 6c_n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the latter inequality with (3.9), we infer

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i \leq 36 \cdot \Big\| \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i 2^{-i} e_{2^i} \Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}},$$

and the proof is complete. □

Since the space $\widetilde{l_1}$ is order continuous, then from (2.14) it follows $(\widetilde{l_1})^* = (\widetilde{l_1})' = ces_\infty$. Therefore, taking into account that the space ces_∞ has the Fatou property, we obtain

Corollary 2. *The basic sequence $\{2^i e_{2^i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is equivalent in the space ces_∞ to the canonical c_0-basis and l_∞ is embedded into ces_∞.*

Corollary 3. *The space $\widetilde{l_1}$ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_\infty^{2^n})_{l_1}$. Therefore, the space ces_∞ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$ and contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$.*

Proof. Let us define the linear operator T from $\widetilde{l_1}$ to $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_\infty^{2^n})_{l_1}$ as follows: if $c = (c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \widetilde{l_1}$, then $Tc = (d^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $d^{(n)} = (d_j^{(n)})_{j=1}^{2^n}$, $d_j^{(n)} := (j - 1 + 2^n) \cdot c_{j-1+2^n}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n$.

Assuming that $c_k \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \ldots$, by Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\|c\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} = \| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \, e_k \|_{\widetilde{l_1}} = \Big\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{d_j^{(n)}}{j - 1 + 2^n} \, e_{2^n+j-1} \Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}}$$

$$\leq \Big\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \max_{j=1,\ldots,2^n} d_j^{(n)} \cdot 2^{-n} \, e_{2^{n+1}} \Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \max_{j=1,\ldots,2^n} d_j^{(n)} = 2 \, \|Tc\|_{(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_\infty^{2^n})_{l_1}}.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the norm in $\widetilde{l_1}$ and Theorem 2, we have

$$\|c\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} = \Big\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{d_j^{(n)}}{j - 1 + 2^n} \, e_{2^n+j-1} \Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \Big\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \max_{j=1,\ldots,2^n} d_j^{(n)} \cdot 2^{-n} \, e_{2^n} \Big\|_{\widetilde{l_1}}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{72} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \max_{j=1,\ldots,2^n} d_j^{(n)} = \frac{1}{72} \, \|Tc\|_{(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_\infty^{2^n})_{l_1}},$$

and therefore T is an isomorphism from $\widetilde{l_1}$ onto $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_\infty^{2^n})_{l_1}$. Since $(\widetilde{l_1})' = ces_\infty$, by duality, we deduce that ces_∞ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$.

To get the last result of corollary, we note that $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_\infty^n)_{l_1}$ is a complemented subspace of $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_\infty^{2^n})_{l_1}$ and hence of $\widetilde{l_1}$. Thus, applying the Hagler-Stegall theorem (see [HS73, Theorem 1]) we conclude that the dual space, i.e., ces_∞ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$. □

Remark 1. *In* [B81, p. 19]*, Bourgain proved that arbitrary l_1-sum of finite-dimensional Banach spaces has the Schur property (see also* [CI90, pp. 60-61] *for a simpler proof). Hence, from Corollary 3 we can infer that $\widetilde{l_1}$ has the Schur property and thereby we get another proof of Theorem 1.*

From Corollary 3 and the fact that l_∞ is a prime space (cf. [AK06, Thm 5.6.5] and [LT77, Thm 2.a.7]) it follows

Corollary 4. *The spaces ces_∞ and l_∞ are not isomorphic.*

The fact that the space ces_∞ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$ will be next a crucial tool in proving the existence of an isomorphism between Ces_∞-spaces of functions and sequences. So, it is worth to give its direct proof without referring to the general theorem of Hagler-Stegall ([HS73, Theorem 1]), especially, because the following proof, we hope, is interesting in its own.

Theorem 3. *The space ces_∞ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$.*

Proof. Thanks to Corollary 3, it is sufficient to prove that in the space $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$ there is a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$. Denote the collection of dyadic intervals of $[0,1]$ by

$$B_k^n = [\frac{k-1}{2^n}, \frac{k}{2^n}), \text{ where } k = 1, \dots, 2^n \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and define a sequence of operators $H_n : L^1 \to l_1^{2^n}$ by

$$H_n : f \mapsto \Big\{ \int_{B_n^k} f(t)dt \Big\}_{k=1}^{2^n}.$$

Then $\|H_n\| = 1$ for each n. Moreover, put $H : f \mapsto \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n f$. Then H maps $L_1[0,1]$ into the space $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$ with $\|H\| = 1$. Moreover, let us show that H is an isometry between the spaces $L_1[0,1]$ and $H(L_1[0,1])$. In fact, denoting by $\{h_k\}$ the Haar basis, for a given $f \in L^1[0,1]$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find a function $g = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k h_k$ such that $\|g - f\| \le \varepsilon$. Then, for n large enough, there holds $\|H_n g\| = \|g\|$, which, in consequence, gives $\|H_n f\| \ge \|H_n g\| - \varepsilon \ge \|f\| - 2\varepsilon$ and proves our claim.

To see that $H(L_1[0,1])$ is complemented in $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$ a little more work is required. For a given n we set $T_n : (\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty} \to L_1[0,1]$ by

$$T_n : x \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} 2^n x_n^k \chi_{B_n^k},$$

where $x_n = (x_n^k)_{k=1}^{2^n}$ and $x = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$. Of course, $\|T_n\| = 1$ for each n. Let η be a free ultrafilter. Then for a given $x \in (\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$ we define the functional R_x on $C[0,1]$ by the formula

$$R_x(g) = \lim_{\eta} \langle T_n x, g \rangle \text{ for } g \in C[0,1].$$

Since $\|T_n\| = 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get $\|R_x\| = 1$.

Recalling that the space $C[0,1]^*$ consists of all regular Borel measures on $[0,1]$ with finite variation, denote by Q the Lebesgue projection which maps any such measure into its absolutely continuous part. Now, one can verify that $P : x \mapsto H(Q(R_x))$ is the required projection from $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$ onto $H(L_1[0,1])$. In fact, since $\{T_n(Hf)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale associated to a function $f \in L_1[0,1]$, there holds $T_n(Hf) \to f$ in $L_1[0,1]$-norm. In consequence,

$$R_{Hf}(g) = \lim_{\eta} \langle T_n Hf, g\rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle T_n Hf, g\rangle = \langle f, g\rangle \text{ for } g \in C[0,1].$$

Therefore, $Q(R_{Hf}) = f$, which proves our claim. □

Now, we investigate the conditions under which Cesàro and Tandori sequence spaces have and do not have the Dunford-Pettis property.

Observe that under the assumption of nontriviality of indices of a function φ, that is, when $0 < p_\varphi \le q_\varphi < 1$, in the case $[0,\infty)$ we have $C\Lambda_\varphi = L_1(\varphi(t)/t)$ with equivalent norms (see [DS07, Theorem 4.4] and [LM15a, Theorem 8]) and hence, by Theorem A(i), the corresponding Tandori space $\widetilde{M_\varphi} = L_\infty(\varphi)$, so they both have the Dunford-Pettis property. An inspection of the proof of Theorem 8 from [LM15a] combined with duality (see Theorem A(iii)) shows that for the respective sequence spaces the following result holds.

Theorem 4. *Let φ be an increasing concave function on $[0,\infty)$.*
(i) If $p_\varphi^\infty > 0$, then $C\lambda_\varphi = l_1(\varphi(n)/n)$ and therefore has the Dunford-Pettis property.
(ii) If $q_\varphi^\infty < 1$, then $\widetilde{m_\varphi} = l_\infty(\varphi(n))$ and therefore has the Dunford-Pettis property.

In the proof of a similar result related to the spaces $\widetilde{\lambda_\varphi}$ and Cm_φ we will make use of a suitable isomorphic description of these spaces and the well-known Bourgain's results mentioned in the Introduction (see [Bo81]).

Theorem 5. *For arbitrary increasing concave function φ on $[0,\infty)$ the spaces $\widetilde{\lambda_\varphi}$ and Cm_φ have the Dunford-Pettis property.*

Proof. At first, in the case when $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) < \infty$ we have $\lambda_\varphi = l_\infty$, whence $\widetilde{\lambda_\varphi} = l_\infty$, and the result follows. So, let $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$. Moreover, the function $\varphi(t)$ is strictly increasing and, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\varphi(1) = 1$. Let us define the increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where $n_1 = 1$, as follows

$$n_{k+1} := \sup\{i > n_k : \varphi(i) - \varphi(n_k) \le 2^k\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Then, since $\varphi(n_{k+1}+1) - \varphi(n_k) > 2^k$ and, by subadditivity of φ,

$$\varphi(n_{k+1}+1) - \varphi(n_{k+1}) \le \varphi(1) = 1,$$

we have

$$2^{k-1} \le \varphi(n_{k+1}) - \varphi(n_k) \le 2^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, by (3.10) and [GHS96, Proposition 2.1] (see also [GP03, Lemma 3.2]), for every $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \widetilde{\lambda_\varphi}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\|_{\widetilde{\lambda_\varphi}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{x_n}(\varphi(n+1)-\varphi(n)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \widetilde{x_n}(\varphi(n+1)-\varphi(n)) \\ &\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{x_{n_k}}(\varphi(n_{k+1})-\varphi(n_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k \sup_{j\ge k} \max_{n_j\le i\le n_{j+1}} |x_i| \\ &\le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k \max_{n_k\le i\le n_{k+1}} |x_i| = C\|x\|_{\oplus}\end{aligned}$$

with some constant $C>0$, where

$$\|x\|_{\oplus} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k \max_{n_k\le i\le n_{k+1}} |x_i|.$$

Conversely, again, by (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\|_{\oplus} &= 2\max_{1\le i\le n_2}|x_i| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^k \max_{n_k\le i\le n_{k+1}}|x_i| \le 2\|x\|_{l_\infty} + 4\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\widetilde{x_{n_k}}(\varphi(n_k)-\varphi(n_{k-1})) \\ &\le 2\|x\|_{l_\infty} + 4\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\sum_{n=n_{k-1}}^{n_k-1}\widetilde{x_n}(\varphi(n+1)-\varphi(n)) \le \left(\frac{2}{\varphi(2)-1}+4\right)\|x\|_{\widetilde{\lambda_\varphi}}.\end{aligned}$$

These inequalities show that $\widetilde{\lambda_\varphi}$ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} l_\infty^{m_k})_{l_1}$, where $m_k = n_{k+1}-n_k$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Hence, applying [Bo81, Corollary 7], we obtain that the space $\widetilde{\lambda_\varphi}$ has the Dunford-Pettis property.

Regarding Cm_φ we note, firstly, that in the case when $\lim_{t\to\infty}\varphi(t)/t > 0$ the latter space coincides with l_1 and hence has the Dunford-Pettis property. If $\lim_{t\to\infty}\varphi(t)/t = 0$, then from Theorem A(iii) and the first part of the proof it follows that

$$(Cm_\varphi)' = \widetilde{\lambda_\psi} \simeq (\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} l_\infty^{m_k})_{l_1},$$

where $\psi(t) = t/\varphi(t)$. Combining this with the fact that Cm_φ has the Fatou property, we infer

$$Cm_\varphi \simeq [(\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} l_\infty^{m_k})_{l_1}]' = (\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} l_1^{m_k})_{l_\infty},$$

Hence, from Bourgain's result [Bo81, Theorem 1] it follows that Cm_φ has the Dunford-Pettis property. □

Corollary 5. *For any increasing concave function φ on $[0,\infty)$ the space $C(m_\varphi^0)$ has the Dunford-Pettis property.*

Proof. Since the space $C(m_\varphi^0)$ is order continuous, by Theorem A(iii), we have

$$[C(m_\varphi^0)]^* = [C(m_\varphi^0)]' = \widetilde{\lambda_\psi},$$

where $\psi(t) = t/\varphi(t)$. Now desired result follows from the preceding theorem. □

Now, we show that in the case of reflexive spaces the situation is completely different.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a reflexive symmetric sequence space.*

(i) If the operator C_d is bounded on X, then CX does not have the Dunford-Pettis property.

(ii) If the operator C_d is bounded on X', then $\widetilde{X}$ does not have the Dunford-Pettis property.

Proof. (i) Since X is reflexive it follows that $C_d : CX \to X$ is a weakly compact operator. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that C_d is not a Dunford-Pettis operator.

Consider the sequence $x_n = \varphi_{X'}(n)\, e_n$, where $\{e_n\}$ is the canonical basis in X and $\varphi_{X'}$ is the fundamental function of X'. Let us show that $x_n \to 0$ weakly in CX.

Since the space X has an order continuous norm, then CX has also order continuous norm and, by Theorem A(iii), we obtain $(CX)^* = (CX)' = \widetilde{X'}$. Therefore, by the definition of $\widetilde{X'}$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\langle y, x_n\rangle = \varphi_{X'}(n)\, y_n \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty \tag{3.11}$$

for each non-increasing positive sequence $y = (y_n) \in X'$. Observe that $X' \subset m_{\varphi_{X'}}$, where $m_{\varphi_{X'}}$ is the Marcinkiewicz space with the fundamental function $\varphi_{X'}$. Moreover, by reflexivity of X, $X' = (X')^0$, and thus

$$X' \subset m^0_{\varphi_{X'}} = \{(z_n) : \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{X'}(n)\, z^*_n = 0\}.$$

Clearly, this embedding implies (3.11) and hence $x_n \to 0$ weakly in CX.

On the other hand, $C_d e_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} e_k/k$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X is a symmetric space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_d e_n\|_X &= \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k}{n+k-1} \Big\|_X \geq \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{e_k}{n+k-1} \Big\|_X \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2n} \| \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_k \|_X = \frac{\varphi_X(n)}{2n} = \frac{1}{2\,\varphi_{X'}(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|C_d x_n\|_X \geq 1/2$ for all n, and the proof is completed.

(ii) Since X' is reflexive and C_d is bounded on X', from Theorem 6(i) it follows that $C(X')$ does not have the Dunford-Pettis property. By duality, Theorem A(iii) and the fact that $C(X')$ is order continuous, we obtain $\widetilde{X} = (CX')' = (CX')^*$. Thus, $\widetilde{X}$ is the dual space to a space without the Dunford-Pettis property. So, $\widetilde{X}$ also fails to have it. □

4. On the Dunford-Pettis property of Cesàro and Tandori function spaces

As was mentioned above, under the assumption of nontriviality of indices of a function φ, the spaces $C\Lambda_\varphi$ and $\widetilde{M_\varphi}$ are some weighted L_1- and L_∞-spaces, respectively, and so they both have the Dunford-Pettis property (see [DS07, Theorem 4.4] and [LM15a, Theorem 8]). Similarly, as in Theorem 4, we are able to prove the latter property also for their counterparts, $\widetilde{\Lambda_\varphi}$ and CM_φ.

Theorem 7. *Let φ be an increasing concave function on $[0,\infty)$.*

(i) The space $\widetilde{\Lambda_\varphi}[0,\infty)$ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_\infty[0,1])_{l_1}$ and has the Dunford-Pettis property.

(ii) If $q_\varphi < 1$, then the space $CM_\varphi[0,\infty)$ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_1[0,1])_{l_\infty}$ and has the Dunford-Pettis property.

Firstly, we prove the following auxiliary result.

Proposition 2. *Let w be a locally integrable function on $[0,\infty), w(t) > 0$ a.e., such that $\int_0^\infty w(t)\,dt = \infty$. For $1 \le p < \infty$ we consider the weighted L_p-space with the norm $\|f\|_{L_p(w)} := (\int_0^\infty |f(t)|^p w(t)\,dt)^{1/p}$. Then the space $\widetilde{L_p(w)}$ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_\infty[0,1])_{l_p}$ and the constant of isomorphism depends only on w.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\int_0^1 w(t)\,dt = \frac{1}{a-1}$, where $a > 1$. Thanks to the assumptions, there is an increasing sequence $\{t_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $t_0 = 1, t_n \to 0$ as $n \to -\infty, t_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$ and

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} w(t)\,dt = a^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.1}$$

Then, applying once more [GHS96, Proposition 2.1] (see also [GP03, Lemma 3.2]), for every $f \in \widetilde{L_p(w)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^p_{\widetilde{L_p(w)}} &= \int_0^\infty \widetilde{f(t)}^p w(t)\,dt = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\ge t} |f(s)|^p w(t)\,dt \\
&\le \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\ge t_n} |f(s)|^p a^n = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{k\ge n} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t_k\le s\le t_{k+1}} |f(s)|^p a^n \\
&\le C(a) \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \|f\chi_{[t_n,t_{n+1}]}\|^p_{L_\infty} a^n = C(a)\,\|f\|^p_\oplus,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|f\|_\oplus := (\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a^n \|f\chi_{[t_n,t_{n+1}]}\|^p_{L_\infty})^{1/p}$$

and $C(a)$ is some constant depending only on a (and hence on w). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^p_\oplus &= a\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a^{n-1} \|f\chi_{[t_n,t_{n+1}]}\|^p_{L_\infty} = a\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t_n\le s\le t_{n+1}} |f(s)|^p w(t)\,dt \\
&\le a\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\ge t} |f(s)|^p w(t)\,dt = a\|f\|^p_{\widetilde{L_p(w)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the space $L_\infty[a,b]$ is isomorphic to the space $L_\infty[0,1]$ for every $0 < a < b < \infty$, the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 7. (i) It is clear that $\widetilde{\Lambda_\varphi} = \widetilde{L_1(\varphi')}$. Therefore, from Proposition 2 it follows that $\widetilde{\Lambda_\varphi} \simeq (\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_\infty[0,1])_{l_1}$ and, by Bourgain's result [Bo81, Corollary 7], $\widetilde{\Lambda_\varphi}$ has the Dunford-Pettis property.

(ii) Since $q_\varphi < 1$, then the operator C is bounded in $M_\varphi[0,\infty)$ (cf. [KPS82, Theorem 6.6, p. 138]) and hence, by Theorem A(i), the Köthe dual of the space CM_φ coincides with the space $\widetilde{\Lambda_\psi}$, where $\psi(t) = t/\varphi(t), t > 0$. Therefore, applying Proposition 2, we are able to get the result arguing in the same way as in the concluding part of the proof of Theorem 4. □

Theorem 8. *The spaces $Ces_\infty(I)$ and $\widetilde{L_1}(I)$, where $I = [0,\infty)$ or $[0,1]$, have the Dunford-Pettis property and they are not isomorphic.*

Proof. At first, let $I = [0,\infty)$. Since $\widetilde{L_1} = \widetilde{\Lambda_{\varphi_1}}$, where $\varphi_1(t) = t$, and $Ces_\infty = CL_\infty = CM_{\varphi_0}$, where $\varphi_0(t) = 1$, by Theorem 7, the spaces $\widetilde{L_1}$ and Ces_∞ have the Dunford-Pettis property.

Let us show that $\widetilde{L_1}$ and Ces_∞ are not isomorphic. By Theorem 7, the space $\widetilde{L_1}$ is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_\infty[0,1])_{l_1}$ and therefore, according to the Pełczyński result on isomorphism between L_∞ and l_∞ ([Pe58]; see also [AK06, Theorem 4.3.10]), $\widetilde{L_1}$ is isomorphic also to $(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} l_\infty)_{l_1}$. Since the latter space fails to contain a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$ (see [CM08, Proposition 3]), then so does not $\widetilde{L_1}$. On the other hand, the space Ces_∞ contains such a complemented subspace (see Proposition 1), and the result follows.

It is easy to see that the assertion of Proposition 2 holds also for weighted L_p-spaces on $[0,1]$ (with the same proof). Therefore, $\widetilde{L_1}[0,1] \simeq (\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_\infty[0,1])_{l_1}$ and, since $(Ces_\infty[0,1])' = \widetilde{L_1}[0,1]$, then $Ces_\infty[0,1] \simeq (\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_1[0,1])_{l_\infty}$. Thus, the result can be proved in the same way as in the case of $[0,\infty)$. □

Similar result can be deduced from Theorem 7 also for the separable part of a Marcinkiewicz space M_φ^0 (see also Corollary 5). In fact, since the space $C(M_\varphi^0)$ on $[0,\infty)$ is order continuous and the condition $\beta_{M_\varphi^0} = q_\varphi < 1$ implies the boundedness of the operator C on M_φ^0, by Theorem A(i), we have

$$[C(M_\varphi^0)]^* = [C(M_\varphi^0)]' = \widetilde{\Lambda_\psi}.$$

As a result, applying Theorem 7(i), we get that $C(M_\varphi^0)$ has the Dunford-Pettis property. However, we prefer to give the more direct proof of the latter fact (without exploiting Bourgain's results from [Bo81]) by using the following property of separable Cesáro-Marcinkiewicz spaces.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that φ is an increasing concave function on $[0,\infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+}\varphi(t) = 0$ and $q_\varphi < 1$. Let $X = C(M_\varphi^0)$ on $[0,\infty)$ and let $I_n := [a_n, b_n]$ be a sequence of intervals from $[0,\infty)$ such that either*

$$b_1 > a_1 > b_2 > a_2 > \ldots > 0 \text{ and } b_n \to 0^+ \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{4.2}$$

or

$$a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \ldots \text{ and } a_n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

Then there are a subsequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for every sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ satisfying the condition: $\operatorname{supp} x_n \subset I_n, n = 1,2,\ldots$ *we have*

$$\max_{k=1,\ldots,m} \|x_{n_k}\|_X \le \|\sum_{k=1}^m x_{n_k}\|_X \le C \max_{k=1,\ldots,m} \|x_{n_k}\|_X,\ m = 1,2,\ldots. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Since a given sequence $\{x_n\}$ under consideration consists of pairwise disjoint functions, the left inequality in (4.4) holds for an arbitrary subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. So, we need only to prove the reverse inequality. Obviously, we may assume that $x_n \ge 0$ a.e. Since

$q_\varphi < 1$, then $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{t}{\varphi(t)} = 0$. Therefore, in the case (4.2), applying the diagonal procedure, from any given sequence $\{I_n\}$ we can extract a subsequence of intervals (which we will denote still by $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$) such that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \psi(b_k) \le \psi(a_n), \text{ where } \psi(t) = t/\varphi(t). \tag{4.5}$$

We claim that the corresponding sequence of functions (still denoting by $\{x_n\}, \operatorname{supp} x_n \subset I_n$) satisfies the right-hand inequality in (4.4). For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \Big(\sum_{k=1}^m x_k(s)\Big)\, ds &= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=2}^m \sum_{i=m-j+2}^m \int_{a_i}^{b_i} x_i(s)\, ds\, \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, b_{m-j+1}]}(t) \\
&+ \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{a_{m-j+1}}^t x_{m-j+1}(s)\, ds\, \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, b_{m-j+1}]}(t) \\
&+ \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=m-j+1}^m \int_{a_i}^{b_i} x_i(s)\, ds\, \chi_{[b_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t) \\
&= f_1(t) + f_2(t) + f_3(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_0 = \infty$ and

$$f_1(t) := \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=2}^m \sum_{i=m-j+2}^m \int_{a_i}^{b_i} x_i(s)\, ds\, \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t),$$

$$f_2(t) := \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{a_{m-j+1}}^{b_{m-j+1}} x_{m-j+1}(s)\, ds\, \chi_{[b_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t),$$

$$f_3(t) := \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{a_{m-j+1}}^t x_{m-j+1}(s)\, ds\, \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, b_{m-j+1}]}(t).$$

Since $\beta_{M_\varphi^0} = q_\varphi < 1$, the operator C is bounded in M_φ^0 (cf. [KPS82, Theorem 6.6, p. 138]) and hence, by Theorem A(i), $(CX)^* = (CX)' = \widetilde{X'} = \widetilde{\Lambda_\psi}$ with equivalent norms. Thus, by (2.2) and (2.10), for arbitrary $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $x \in X$

$$\int_a^b |x(s)|\, ds \le C_1 \|x\|_X \|\chi_{[a,b]}\|_{\widetilde{\Lambda_\psi}} = C_1 \|x\|_X \psi(b). \tag{4.6}$$

Hence, by (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(t) &\le \frac{C_1}{t}\sum_{j=2}^m \sum_{i=m-j+2}^m \psi(b_i)\, \|x_i\|_X\, \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t) \\
&\le \frac{C_1}{t}\sum_{j=2}^m \psi(a_{m-j+1})\, \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t) \cdot \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X \\
&\le \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)}\sum_{j=2}^m \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t) \cdot \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X \le \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X,
\end{aligned}$$

whence since $q_\varphi < 1$, by (2.6), it follows that

$$\|f_1\|_{M_\varphi} \le C_1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\varphi(s)} ds \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X \le C_1 C_2 \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X. \tag{4.7}$$

Similarly, since ψ increases, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(t) &\le \frac{C_1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m \psi(b_{m-j+1}) \|x_{m-j+1}\|_X \cdot \chi_{[b_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t) \\ &\le \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_{[b_{m-j+1}, a_{m-j}]}(t) \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X \le \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

and again

$$\|f_2\|_{M_\varphi} \le C_1 C_2 \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X. \tag{4.8}$$

At final, using (4.6) once more, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(t) &\le \frac{C_1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m \psi(t) \|x_{m-j+1}\|_X \cdot \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, b_{m-j+1}]}(t) \\ &\le \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_{[a_{m-j+1}, b_{m-j+1}]}(t) \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X \le \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

whence again

$$\|f_3\|_{M_\varphi} \le C_1 C_2 \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X. \tag{4.9}$$

Thus, from (4.6)–(4.9) it follows that

$$\|\sum_{k=1}^m x_k\|_X \le \|f_1\|_{M_\varphi} + \|f_2\|_{M_\varphi} + \|f_3\|_{M_\varphi} \le C \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|x_i\|_X,$$

where the constant $C := 3C_1C_2$ depends only on the function φ.

Regarding the case (4.3), we note that condition $q_\varphi < 1$ implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{t}{\varphi(t)} = \infty$. Hence, from any given sequence of intervals we can select a subsequence of intervals (denoting still by $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi(b_i) \le \psi(a_k), k = 2, 3, \dots. \tag{4.10}$$

For arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Big(\sum_{k=1}^m x_k(s) \Big) ds &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^m \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} x_i(s)\, ds + \int_{a_k}^t x_k(s)\, ds \Big) \chi_{[a_k, b_k]}(t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{a_i}^{b_i} x_i(s)\, ds \cdot \chi_{[b_k, a_{k+1}]}(t) = g_1(t) + g_2(t) + g_3(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{m+1} = \infty$ and

$$g_1(t) := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} x_i(s)\, ds \cdot \chi_{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}(t),$$

$$g_2(t) := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} x_i(s)\, ds \cdot \chi_{[b_k, a_{k+1}]}(t),$$

$$g_3(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{a_k}^{t} x_k(s)\, ds \cdot \chi_{[a_k, b_k]}(t).$$

Firstly, applying (4.6) and (4.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &\leq \frac{C_1}{t} \sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi(b_i) \|x_i\|_X \cdot \chi_{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}(t) \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{t} \sum_{k=2}^{m} \psi(a_k) \cdot \chi_{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}(t) \cdot \max_{i=1,\ldots,m} \|x_i\|_X \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \sum_{k=2}^{m} \chi_{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}(t) \cdot \max_{i=1,\ldots,m} \|x_i\|_X \leq \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \max_{i=1,\ldots,m} \|x_i\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Next, similarly,

$$g_2(t) \leq \frac{C_1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \psi(b_k) \|x_k\|_X \cdot \chi_{[b_k, a_{k+1}]}(t) \leq \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \max_{i=1,\ldots,m} \|x_i\|_X$$

and

$$g_3(t) \leq \frac{C_1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \psi(t) \|x_k\|_X \cdot \chi_{[a_k, b_k]}(t) \leq \frac{C_1}{\varphi(t)} \max_{i=1,\ldots,m} \|x_i\|_X.$$

As a result, using (2.6), we have

$$\| \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k \|_X \leq \|g_1\|_{M_\varphi} + \|g_2\|_{M_\varphi} + \|g_3\|_{M_\varphi} \leq C \max_{i=1,\ldots,m} \|x_i\|_X,$$

where the constant $C := 3C_1C_2$ depends only on the function φ. □

Corollary 6. *Let φ satisfy all the conditions of Proposition 3 and let $X = C(M_\varphi^0)$ on $[0, \infty)$. Suppose that $I_n := [a_n, b_n], n = 1, 2, \ldots$, be a sequence of intervals from $[0, \infty)$ such that either $b_1 > a_1 > b_2 > a_2 > \ldots > 0$ and $b_n \to 0^+$ as $n \to \infty$ or $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \ldots$ and $a_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, every semi-normalized sequence $\{f_n\} \subset X$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f_n \subset I_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ contains a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ which is equivalent in X to the canonical basis in c_0.*

Proof. At first, applying Proposition 3, we find a subsequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for every sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $\operatorname{supp} x_n \subset I_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$, we have

$$\max_{k=1,\ldots,m} \|x_{n_k}\|_X \leq \| \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{n_k} \|_X \leq C \max_{k=1,\ldots,m} \|x_{n_k}\|_X, \ m = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

In particular, setting $x_n = c_k f_n$ if $n_k \le n < n_{k+1}, k = 1, 2, \ldots$, where (c_k) is an arbitrary sequence from c_0, and assuming that $D^{-1} \le \|f_n\|_X \le D$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$D^{-1} \max_{k=1,\ldots,m} |c_k| \le \| \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k f_{n_k} \|_X \le C\, D \max_{k=1,\ldots,m} |c_k|.$$

Since $(c_k) \in c_0$, then the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k f_{n_k}$ converges in X and we have

$$D^{-1} \|(c_k)\|_{c_0} \le \| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k f_{n_k} \|_X \le C\, D \|(c_k)\|_{c_0}.$$

□

Theorem 9. *Let φ be an increasing concave function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \varphi(t) = 0$ and $q_\varphi < 1$. Then, the space $X = C(M^0_\varphi)$ on $[0, \infty)$ has the Dunford-Pettis property.*

Proof. On the contrary, assuming that X does not have the Dunford-Pettis property, we can find sequences $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that $\|u_n\|_X = 1, u_n \to 0$ weakly in X and $\{v_n\} \subset X^* = X' = \widetilde{\Lambda_\psi}$ such that $\|v_n\|_{X'} = 1, v_n \to 0$ weakly in X' satisfying the condition

$$\langle u_n, v_n \rangle := \int_0^\infty u_n(t) v_n(t)\, dt \ge \delta, \tag{4.11}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that $u_n \chi_{[a,b]} \to 0$ weakly in X for every $0 < a < b < \infty$. In fact, if $v \in X'$, then $\langle u_n \chi_{[a,b]}, v \rangle = \langle u_n, v\chi_{[a,b]} \rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, because of $v\chi_{[a,b]} \in X'$. Moreover (see Proposition 1),

$$X_{|_{[a,b]}} := \{u \in X : \operatorname{supp} u \subset [a, b]\} = L_1[a, b]$$

with equivalence of norms, and therefore $u_n \chi_{[a,b]} \to 0$ weakly in $L_1[a, b]$. Setting $\alpha_n(u) := \int_a^b u(t) v_n(t)\, dt, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $\alpha_n \in (L_1[a, b])^* = L_\infty[a, b] = X'/M$, where $M = \{v \in X' : \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in L_1[a, b]\}$. Then,

$$(L_\infty[a, b])^* = (X'/M)^* = \{F \in (X')^* : F(v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in M\},$$

and therefore $(L_\infty[a, b])^* \subset (X')^*$. Hence, from the fact that $v_n \to 0$ weakly in X' it follows that $\alpha_n \to 0$ weakly in $L_\infty[a, b]$. Since $L_1[a, b]$ has the Dunford-Pettis property, as a result we have

$$\alpha_n(u_n \cdot \chi_{[a,b]}) = \int_a^b u_n(t) v_n(t)\, dt \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

for every $0 < a < b < \infty$. Thus, taking into account (4.11), we can select subsequences of $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ (we will denote them still by $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$) such that at least one of the following conditions holds:

(a) there exists a sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $b_1 > b_2 > \ldots, \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = 0$ and

$$\int_0^{b_n} u_n(t) v_n(t)\, dt \ge \frac{3\delta}{4},\ n \in \mathbb{N};$$

(b) there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots, \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$ and

$$\int_{a_n}^\infty u_n(t) v_n(t)\, dt \ge \frac{3\delta}{4},\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\int_0^\infty |u_n(t)v_n(t)|\,dt < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, passing to further subsequences, we can find a sequence of intervals $I_n = [a_n, b_n], n = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that either $b_1 > a_1 > b_2 > a_2 > \ldots, \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = 0$, or $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \ldots, \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$, for which

$$\int_{I_n} u_n(t)v_n(t)\,dt \geq \frac{\delta}{2},\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.12}$$

Now, we set $f_n := u_n \cdot \chi_{I_n}, n = 1, 2, \ldots$. From (4.12) it follows that $\{f_n\}$ is a semi-normalized sequence in X. So, applying Corollary 6, we can extract a subsequence (denoted still by $\{f_n\}$), which is equivalent in X to the canonical basis in c_0. Therefore, $f_n \to 0$ weakly in the closed linear span $[f_n]$ (and in X). Clearly, $\theta_n(f) := \int_0^\infty f(t)v_n(t)\,dt$ is a bounded linear functional on $[f_n]$. As above, $[f_n]^{**} \subset (X')^*$. Therefore, since $v_n \to 0$ weakly in X', we have $\theta_n \to 0$ weakly in $[f_n]^*$. Noting that the subspace $[f_n]$ is isomorphic to c_0, which has the Dunford-Pettis property, we obtain

$$\int_{I_n} u_n(t)v_n(t)\,dt = \theta_n(f_n) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

which contradicts (4.12). Thus, the proof is completed. □

As we know, the condition $0 < p_\varphi \leq q_\varphi < 1$ guarantees that $C\Lambda_\varphi$ on $[0, \infty)$ is a weighted L_1-space up to equivalence of norms (see [DS07, Theorem 4.4] and [LM15a]). It turns out that similar result holds also for the Cesàro-Lorentz spaces on $[0, 1]$.

Theorem 10. *Let φ be an increasing concave function on $[0, 1]$ such that $0 < p_\varphi^0 \leq q_\varphi^0 < 1$. Then*

$$C\Lambda_\varphi[0, 1] = L_1(w),\ \textit{with}\ w(t) = \int_0^{1-t} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{t+s}\,ds.$$

Proof. By duality and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{C\Lambda_\varphi} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\Lambda'_\varphi \leq 1}} \int_0^1 C|f|(x)|g(x)|\,dx = \sup_{\|g\|_{\Lambda'_\varphi \leq 1}} \int_0^1 |g(x)|\Big(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x |f(t)|\,dt\Big)dx \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\Lambda'_\varphi \leq 1}} \int_0^1 |f(t)|\Big(\int_t^1 \frac{|g(x)|}{x}dx\Big)dt \leq \int_0^1 |f(t)|\,\|h_t\|_{\Lambda_\varphi}dt\end{aligned}$$

where $h_t(x) = \frac{1}{x}\chi_{[t,1]}(x)$. Then

$$\|h_t\|_{\Lambda_\varphi} = \int_0^1 (h_t)^*(s)\varphi'(s)\,ds = \int_0^{1-t} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{s+t}\,ds = w(t)$$

and consequently the above inequality means that $L_1(w) \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} C\Lambda_\varphi$. In view of the conditions imposed on the indices p_φ^0 and q_φ^0 the operators C and C^* are bounded in Λ_φ (see [KPS82, Chapter II, § 8.6]). Therefore, the reverse inclusion is equivalent, by duality (see Theorem A(ii)), to the following one

$$L_\infty(1/w) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M_\psi(v)},$$

where $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{\varphi(t)}$ and $v(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$. Thus, it is enough to check that $w \in \widetilde{M_\psi(v)}$, i.e.,

$$\|w\|_{\widetilde{M_\psi(v)}} = \sup_{0<t\leq 1} \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t (v\widetilde{w})^*(x)\,dx < \infty.$$

First of all notice that w is decreasing, so we have $\widetilde{w} = w$. We divide the function $v \cdot w$ into two parts, namely,

$$v(t)w(t) = \frac{w(t)}{1-t}\chi_{[0,1/2]}(t) + \frac{w(t)}{1-t}\chi_{[1/2,1]}(t) = w_0(t) + w_1(t).$$

Thus, we need only to check that w_0 and w_1 belong to the space M_ψ.

By Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^x w^*(t)\,dt &= \int_0^x \Big(\int_0^{1-t} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{t+s}\,dt\Big)ds \\ &= \int_0^{1-x} \Big(\int_0^x \frac{\varphi'(s)}{t+s}dt\Big)ds + \int_{1-x}^1 \Big(\int_0^{1-s} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{t+s}dt\Big)ds \\ &= \int_0^{1-x} \varphi'(s)\ln\frac{x+s}{s}ds + \int_{1-x}^1 \varphi'(s)\ln\frac{1}{s}ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then, since $0 < x < 1/2$, the second summand can be estimated thanks to monotonicity and subadditivity of the concave function φ as follows

$$\int_{1-x}^1 \varphi'(s)\ln\frac{1}{s}ds \le \ln 2\int_{1-x}^1 \varphi'(s)ds = \ln 2\,[\varphi(1) - \varphi(1-x)] \le \ln 2\,\varphi(x).$$

While for the first one, integrating by parts, we get

$$\int_0^{1-x} \varphi'(s)\ln\frac{x+s}{s}ds = \varphi(1-x)\ln\frac{1}{1-x} - \lim_{s\to 0^+}\varphi(s)\ln\frac{x+s}{s}$$

$$+x\int_0^{1-x}\frac{\varphi(s)}{(s+x)s}\,ds \le \varphi(1)\frac{x}{1-x} + x\int_0^{1-x}\frac{\varphi(s)}{(s+x)s}\,ds.$$

Since $0 < x < 1/2$, then by concavity of φ, we get

$$\varphi(1)\frac{x}{1-x} \le 2\,\varphi(1)x \le 2\,\varphi(x).$$

Moreover, for some $0 < a < 1, A \ge 1$ and all $0 < x < 1, t > 0$ we have $\varphi(tx) \le At^a\varphi(x)$ and consequently, putting $s = tx$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{1-x}\frac{\varphi(s)}{(s+x)s}ds &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{1-x}{x}}\frac{\varphi(tx)}{(1+t)t}dt \le \frac{A}{x}\int_0^{\frac{1-x}{x}}\frac{t^a\varphi(x)}{(1+t)t}dt \\ &\le A\frac{\varphi(x)}{x}\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{a-1}}{1+t}dt = B\,\frac{\varphi(x)}{x}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $0 < x < 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^x (w_0)^*(t)dt &\le 2\int_0^x (w\chi_{(0,1/2]})^*(t)dt \le 2\int_0^x w^*(t)dt \\ &\le 2(2 + B + \ln 2)\,\varphi(x),\end{aligned}$$

whence $w_0 \in M_\psi$.

Let us consider now w_1. For $1/2 < t \le 1$ we have

$$w_1(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}\int_0^{1-t}\frac{\varphi'(s)}{t+s}\,ds \le \frac{2}{1-t}\int_0^{1-t}\varphi'(s)\,ds = 2\,\frac{\varphi(1-t)}{1-t}.$$

Since the function $\frac{\varphi(1-t)}{1-t}$ is increasing, we conclude that $w_1^*(t) \leq 2\,\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$, $0 < t \leq 1$. In consequence, from (2.6) and the condition $q_\psi^0 = 1 - p_\varphi^0 < 1$ it follows that

$$\int_0^x w_1^*(t)dt \leq 2\int_0^x \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt = 2\int_0^x \frac{1}{\psi(t)}dt \leq 2\,C\frac{x}{\psi(x)} = 2\,C\varphi(x),$$

which finishes the proof. □

Of course, from Theorem 10 it follows that the space $C\Lambda_\varphi[0,1]$ has the Dunford-Pettis property whenever $0 < p_\varphi^0 \leq q_\varphi^0 < 1$. Let us prove analogous result for separable Cesàro-Marcinkiewicz spaces.

Theorem 11. *Let φ be an increasing concave function on $[0,1]$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+}\varphi(t) = 0$ and $q_\varphi^0 < 1$. Then the space $C(M_\varphi^0)[0,1]$ has the Dunford-Pettis property.*

Proof. For every $k = 2, 3, \ldots$ we set

$$X_k := CM_\varphi^0\big|_{[0,1-1/k]} = \{f \in CM_\varphi^0 : \operatorname{supp} f \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{k}]\}.$$

Since CM_φ^0 is an order continuous space, the union $\bigcup_{k=2}^\infty X_k$ is dense in it. Moreover, from the definition of Cesàro spaces it follows that, for every $k = 2, 3, \ldots$, X_k can be regarded as a complemented subspace of the space $CM_{\varphi_1}^0[0,\infty)$, where φ_1 is a concave extension of the function φ to the semi-axis $[0,\infty)$ such that $q_{\varphi_1} < 1$. (Notice that $CX[0,1]$ is not a restriction of $CX[0,\infty)$ to the interval $[0,1]$. More precisely, similarly as in [AM09, Remark 5], one can check that $CX[0,\infty)_{|[0,1]} = CX[0,1] \cap L_1[0,1]$). Therefore, an inspection of the proof of Theorem 7 shows that $X_k \simeq (\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_1[0,1])_{l_\infty}$, whence the space $(\bigoplus_{k=2}^\infty X_k)_{l_\infty}$ is isomorphic to the latter l_∞-sum as well. Thus, $(\bigoplus_{k=2}^\infty X_k)_{l_\infty}$ has the Dunford-Pettis property. Finally, applying Proposition 2 from [Bo81], we conclude that CM_φ^0 also posseses the latter property, and the proof is completed. □

Remark 2. *The assertion of Theorem 11 cannot be deduced from Theorem 7(i), using the above Bourgain's results, because of the difference in the duality results for Cesàro spaces for the cases of $[0,1]$ and $[0,\infty)$ (see Theorem A). We would like to mention here also that we couldn't identify conditions under which the space $CM_\varphi[0,1]$ has the Dunford-Pettis property.*

Now, we present some negative results related to the Dunford-Pettis property of Cesàro and Tandori function spaces.

Theorem 12. *Let X be a reflexive symmetric function space on I such that the operator C is bounded on X.*

(i) If $I = [0,\infty)$, then the spaces CX and $\widetilde{X'}$ do not have the Dunford-Pettis property.

(ii) If $I = [0,1]$, X has the Fatou property and the operator C^* is bounded on X, then the spaces CX and $\widetilde{X'}$ do not have the Dunford-Pettis property.

Proof. (i) The proof is rather similar to the proof in the sequence case (Theorem 3). Again it is sufficient to prove that the operator $C : CX \to X$ is not a Dunford-Pettis operator. Let us show that $x_n = \dfrac{1}{\varphi_X(1/n)}\,\chi_{[0,1/n]}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, is a weakly null sequence in CX. Since X is order continuous, it follows that CX is also order continuous and by Theorem

A(i) we obtain $(CX)^* = (CX)' = \widetilde{X'}$. Thus, we need only to check that

$$\langle y, x_n\rangle = \frac{1}{\varphi_X(1/n)}\int_0^{1/n} y(t)\,dt \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n\to\infty, \tag{4.13}$$

for every decreasing positive function $y \in X'$. Again $X' \subset M_{\varphi_{X'}}$, where $M_{\varphi_{X'}}$ is the Marcinkiewicz function space with the fundamental function $\varphi_{X'}$. By reflexivity of X we have $X' = (X')^0$, and thus

$$X' \subset M^0_{\varphi_{X'}} \subset \Big\{z = z(t) : \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\varphi_{X'}(t)}{t}\int_0^t z^*(s)\,ds = 0\Big\}.$$

But $\varphi_X(t) = t/\varphi_{X'}(t)$ and (4.13) follows from the above embedding. On the other hand, $Cx_n \geq x_n$ and so $\|Cx_n\|_X \geq \|x_n\|_X = 1$. This means that CX does not have the Dunford-Pettis property. Moreover, since $\widetilde{X'} = (CX)' = (CX)^*$, then $\widetilde{X'}$ fails to have the latter property as well.

(ii) The only difference of this case from the case of $[0,\infty)$ is the fact that now $(CX)^* = (\widetilde{CX})' = X'(\widetilde{1/(1-t)})$. However, "near zero" the latter space coincides with the space $\widetilde{X'}$ without a weight. Thus, we can repeat the same proof as in (i). □

As we know (see [DS07, Theorem 4.4] and Theorem 10), the Cesàro-Lorentz spaces may coincide with weighted L_1-spaces and therefore may be isomorphic to the symmetric space L_1. At the same time, it is not the case for Cesàro spaces CX when X is reflexive.

Corollary 7. *If X is a reflexive symmetric function space on $[0,1]$ such that the operator C is bounded on X, then CX is not isomorphic to any symmetric space on $[0,1]$.*

Proof. Suppose CX is isomorphic to some symmetric space Y on $[0,1]$. Hence, by Proposition 1, Y contains a complemented copy of $L_1[0,1]$. On the other hand, as Kalton proved in [Ka93, Theorem 7.4], every separable symmetric space on $[0,1]$ that contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$ is isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$ itself. Therefore, we conclude that Y is isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$. On the other hand, $CX \simeq Y$ cannot be isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$, because by Theorem 12 that space fails to have the Dunford-Pettis property.

5. Isomorphism between Ces_∞ and ces_∞

In [AM09, Theorem 9] (see also [AM14, Theorem 7.2]) it was proved that the spaces $Ces_\infty[0,1]$ and $Ces_\infty[0,\infty)$ are isomorphic and there the question was raised if the spaces Ces_∞ and ces_∞ are isomorphic (cf. [AM09, Problem 1] and [AM14, Problem 4]). The following theorem solves this problem in affirmative.

Theorem 13. *The spaces Ces_∞ and ces_∞ are isomorphic.*

Proof. At first, we recall that, by Corollary 3, $ces_\infty \simeq (\bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$ and, by Theorem 7, $Ces_\infty \simeq (\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_1[0,1])_{l_\infty}$. Therefore, $ces_\infty \simeq ces_\infty \oplus ces_\infty$ and $Ces_\infty \simeq Ces_\infty \oplus Ces_\infty$, which shows that we can apply Pełczyński decomposition argument (see [Pe60, Proposition 4] or [AK06, Theorem 2.2.3]). In other words, the proof will be completed whenever we check that ces_∞ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Ces_∞ and vice versa.

Clearly, for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ the space $l_1^{2^n}$ can be complementably embedded into the space $L_1[0,1]$. Therefore, the fact that ces_∞ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Ces_∞ follows at once from the above isomorphic representations of these spaces.

Just a little more efforts are required for the proof of the reverse statement. Let us represent the set $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as a union of infinite increasing pairwise disjoint sequences $(a_n^k)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Then, we can write

$$ces_\infty \simeq \Big(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^{a_n^k}})_{l_\infty} \Big)_{l_\infty}. \tag{5.1}$$

Since $n \leq a_n^k$, where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ are arbitrary, the space $l_1^{2^n}$ can be considered as a complemented subspace of the space $l_1^{2^{a_n^k}}$. Let P_n^k be a respective projection and $P_k = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^k$. Noting that $P_k\Big((\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^{a_n^k}})_{l_\infty}\Big) = (\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^n})_{l_\infty}$, we see that, by Theorem 3, $L_1[0,1]$ is complemented in $P_k\Big((\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^{a_n^k}})_{l_\infty}\Big)$ and hence in the space $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} l_1^{2^{a_n^k}})_{l_\infty}$. At final, from (5.1) it follows that $Ces_\infty \simeq (\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_1[0,1])_{l_\infty}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of ces_∞ and the proof is completed. □

Corollary 8. *The space $Ces_\infty(I)$, where $I = [0, \infty)$ or $[0, 1]$, is isomorphic to a dual space.*

Proof. By (2.14) and Theorem 13 we have $(\widetilde{l_1})^* = (\widetilde{l_1})' = ces_\infty \simeq Ces_\infty$. □

In contrast to the latter result, order continuous Cesàro spaces fail to be isomorphic to the dual ones.

Proposition 4. *If X is a symmetric function space on $I = [0, 1]$ or $I = [0, \infty)$ such that X is order continuous and C is bounded on X, then CX is not isomorphic to a dual space.*

Proof. Suppose that CX is isomorphic to a dual space. By Proposition 1, CX contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0, 1]$. Therefore, applying Hagler-Stegall theorem (cf. [HS73, Theorem 1]), we see that CX contains also a subspace isomorphic to $C[0, 1]^*$. However, it is impossible, since CX is separable (by Lemma 1 in [LM15b]). □

Let us comment the latter results. Suppose that X is an ideal Banach function space with the Fatou property such that the separable part of its Köthe dual $(X')^0$ has the same support as X itself. Then, an easy argument shows that

$$[(X')^0]^* = [(X')^0]' = X'' = X,$$

i.e., X is a dual space. So, the space $(X')^0$ is a natural candidate for being predual of a dual ideal Banach space X. Moreover, as we have seen, separable CX spaces are not isomorphic to dual ones similarly as L_1 and both of them have Köthe dual without nontrivial absolutely continuous elements. Hence, the following conjecture may arise: an ideal Banach space whose Köthe dual has trivial subspace of order continuous elements is not isomorphic to a dual space. This statement, however, is false; by Corollary 8, the Cesàro space Ces_∞, satisfying $[(Ces_\infty)']^0 = (\widetilde{L_1})^0 = \{0\}$, is a dual space. In connection with that we can ask, for example, if the symmetric space $X = L_1 + L_\infty$ on $[0, \infty)$ is isomorphic to a dual space noting that $(X')^0 = (L_1 \cap L_\infty)^0 = \{0\}$?

It is interesting to observe that the above phenomenon has its counterpart in the general theory of Banach lattices. Let E be a separable Banach lattice satisfying the Radon-Nikodym property (RNP). Then the set F of all $x^* \in E^*$, such that the interval $[0, |x^*|]$ is weakly compact is a Banach lattice. Lotz showed (in unpublished preprint [Lo75]) that

if F is big enough, i.e., the topology $\sigma(E,F)$ is Hausdorff, then $E = F^*$. Hence, F is a natural candidate as the predual of E. In [Ta81] Talagrand, however, motivated by above results, has constructed a separable Banach lattice being a dual space (and hence with RNP) such that for each $x^* \in E^*, x^* \geq 0$, the interval $[0, x^*]$ is not weakly compact.

To see that the space Ces_∞ may be regarded as a natural "function" counterpart of Talagrand's example (which seems to be rather artificial) we present the following simple assertion.

Proposition 5. *Let X be an ideal Banach space on $[0,1], x_0 \in X, x_0 \geq 0$. Then the interval $[0, x_0]$ is weakly compact in X if and only if $x_0 \in X^0$.*

Proof. Firstly, let $[0, x_0]$ be weakly compact in X. On the contrary, assume that $x_0 \notin X^0$. Then there are a sequence of sets $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \ldots, \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n = \emptyset$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|x_0 \chi_{A_n}\|_X \geq \varepsilon. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $x_0\chi_{A_n} \in [0, x_0]$, by hypothesis, we can find a subsequence $\{x_0\chi_{A_{n_k}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $x_0\chi_{A_{n_k}} \to y$ weakly in X. Then, by [KA77, Lemma 10.4.1], we get that $\|x_0\chi_{A_{n_k}}\|_X \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. This contradicts (5.2).

Conversely, let $x_0 \in X^0$. Clearly, we have $[0, x_0] \subset X^0$. Therefore, by [KA77, Lemma 10.4.2], the interval $[0, x_0]$ is weakly compact in X^0, i.e., with respect to the topology generated in X^0 by the space $(X^0)^* = X'$. Since $X^* = X' \bigoplus X'_s$, where X'_s consists of all singular functionals f such that $f\big|_{X^0} = 0$ (see [KA77, Theorem 10.3.6]), we get that $[0, x_0]$ is weakly compact in X as well. □

Remark 3. *In particular, from Proposition 5, it follows that the above Lotz's result cannot be applied to Ces_∞. In fact, $(Ces_\infty)^* = \widetilde{L^1} \oplus S$, where S is the space of singular functionals, and, since singular functionals are not comparable with regular ones, each interval $[0, |x^*|] \subset Ces_\infty^*$ is either non-weakly compact or is of the form $[0, |s|]$ with $s \in S$. Therefore, the set F of all $x^* \in (Ces_\infty)^*$ with the weakly compact interval $[0, |x^*|]$ is contained in S and the topology $\sigma(Ces_\infty, F)$ fails to be Hausdorff, because singular functionals vanish on absolutely continuous elements.*

Remark 4. *From results obtained in this section it follows that $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1[0,1])_{l_\infty}$ is isomorphic to a dual space. On the other hand, the unit sphere of this space does not contain extreme points and, hence, it is not isometric to a dual space. Thanks to the well-known Davis-Johnson result* [DJ73] *we know that each nonreflexive Banach space can be renormed so that to be nonisometric to a dual one. At the same time, the proof presented in* [DJ73] *does not concern any information on extreme points of the unit sphere of the space derived by suitable renorming. Therefore, having in mind the above example of $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1[0,1])_{l_\infty}$, we can ask if each nonreflexive Banach space may be renormed so that its unit sphere will not contain any extreme points?*

Since $Ces_\infty(I) \simeq X^*$, where X is a Banach space, and it contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $L_1[0,1]$, then according to the above-mentioned Hagler-Stegall result $Ces_\infty(I)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $C[0,1]^*$, i.e., to the space $\mathcal{M}[0,1]$ of all regular Borel measures on $[0,1]$ of finite variation. We would like to conclude the paper by presenting the following stronger result, which was noticed by Michał Wojciechowski and which is included here with his kind permission.

Theorem 14. *The spaces $Ces_\infty(I)$, where $I = [0,1]$ or $[0,\infty)$, is isomorphic to the space $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}[0,1])_{l_\infty}$.*

Proof. At first, by Miljutin's theorem (cf. [AK06, p. 94]), we know that $C[0,1] \simeq C(\mathbb{T})$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit circle. Since also $\mathcal{M}[0,1] \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ and $L^1[0,1] \simeq L^1(\mathbb{T})$, we can regard all spaces on $\mathbb{T}$ instead of $[0,1]$.

By Theorem 7, it is sufficient to prove that the spaces $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ and $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ are isomorphic. Since both spaces are isomorphic to their squares, we may again apply Pełczyński decomposition argument. Clearly, $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$. So, we need to check only that, conversely, $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$.

Let $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the Fejer kernel and let $\{N_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of positive integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty N_i = \mathbb{N}$. For every $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ define the operator $K^i : \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}) \to (\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ as follows:

$$K^i(\mu) := (K_n * \mu)_{n\in N_i} \text{ for every } \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Then, $\|K^i\| = 1$ and if $N_i = \{n_j^i\}_{j=1}^\infty$, $n_1^i < n_2^i < \ldots$, then $K_{n_j^i} * \mu \to \mu$ as $j \to \infty$ weakly* in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. Hence, $K := \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty K^i$ is an injective operator from $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ into the space

$$(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty} \simeq \Big(\bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty (\bigoplus_{n\in N_i} L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}\Big)_{l_\infty}.$$

Denoting by Y the image of K, we prove that it is complemented in the latter space.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a free ultrafilter. For a given $\{f_k\} \in (\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ and any $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ define the functional $g_i^* \in C(\mathbb{T})^*$ by

$$\langle g_i^*, g\rangle := \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle f_{n_j^i}, g\rangle,\ g \in C(\mathbb{T}).$$

Since $\|\{f_k\}\| = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{L_1} < \infty$, then g_i^* is a well-defined, linear and bounded functional. Therefore, by the Riesz representation theorem, for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ there is a measure $\mu_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\langle g_i^*, g\rangle = \langle \mu_i, g\rangle$ for each $g \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Setting $P(\{f_k\}) := \{\mu_i\}$, we see that P is a linear bounded operator from $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ into $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$. It remains only to show that the composition KP is a projection from $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty L_1(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$ onto Y. In fact, suppose that $\{f_k\} \subset Y$. Then $f_{n_j^i} = K_{n_j^i} * \mu_i, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots$, where $\{\mu_i\} \in (\bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))_{l_\infty}$, and we have

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle f_{n_j^i}, g\rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle K_{n_j^i} * \mu_i, g\rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle \mu_i, K_{n_j^i} * g\rangle = \lim_{j\to\infty} \langle \mu_i, K_{n_j^i} * g\rangle = \langle \mu_i, g\rangle$$

for every $g \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Thus, $KP\{f_k\} = \{f_k\}$ if $\{f_k\} \in Y$, and the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements The second named author is very grateful to Professors Yves Raynaud and Michał Wojciechowski for valuable suggestions, advices and remarks concerning results from the last section.

References

[AK06] F. Albiac and N.J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach Space Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York 2006. MR2192298 (2006h:46005)

[Al57] A. Alexiewicz, *On Cauchy's condensation theorem*, Studia Math. 16 (1957), 80–85. MR0086156 (19,134d)

[AB85] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Academic Press, New York, London 1985. MR0809372 (87h:47086)

[AM08] S. V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, *Cesàro function spaces fail the fixed point property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**(2008), No. 12, 4289–4294. MR2431042 (2009g:46045)

[AM09] S.V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, *Structure of Cesáro function spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 20 (2009), no. 3, 329–379. MR2639977 (2011c:46056)

[AM13] S.V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, *Interpolation of Cesáro sequence and function spaces*, Studia Math. 215 (2013), no. 1, 39–69. MR3071806

[AM14] S.V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, *Structure of Cesàro function spaces: a survey*, Banach Center Publ. 102 (2014), 13–40. MR3330604

[Ba32] S. Banach, *Théorie des Opérations Linéaires*, Monografje Matematyczne 1, Warszawa 1932.

[BS88] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators,* Academic Press, Boston 1988. MR0928802 (89e:46001)

[Be96] G. Bennett, *Factorizing Classical Inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1996), no. 576, 138 pp. MR1317938 (96h:26020)

[B81] J. Bourgain, *New classes of $\mathcal{L}^p$-spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 889, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1981. MR0639014 (83j:46028)

[Bo81] J. Bourgain, *On the Dunford-Pettis property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), no. 2, 265–272. MR0593470 (83g:46038)

[CG94] J. M. Castillo and M. Gonzáles, *On the Dunford-Pettis property in Banach spaces*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. 35 (1994), no. 2, 5–12. MR1366492 (97a:46018)

[CM08] P. Cembranos and J. Mendoza, *The Banach spaces $l^\infty(l^1)$ and $l^1(l^\infty)$ are not isomorphic*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008), no. 1, 295–297. MR2394084 (2009a:46012)

[CI90] C.-H. Chu and B. Iochum, *The Dunford-Pettis property in C^*-algebras*, Studia Math. 97 (1) (1990), 59–64. MR1074769 (92b:46091)

[CH01] Y. Cui and H. Hudzik, *Packing constant for Cesàro sequence spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 47 (2001), 2695–2702. MR1972393 (2004c:46033)

[CMP00] Y. Cui, C. Meng and R. Płuciennik, *Banach-Saks property and property (β) in Cesàro sequence spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 24 (2000), 201–210. MR1810056 (2001m:46031)

[DJ73] W. J. Davis and W. B. Johnson, *A renorming of nonreflexive Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 486–488. MR0310595 (46 #9693)

[DS07] O. Delgado and J. Soria, *Optimal domain for the Hardy operator*, J. Funct. Anal. 244 (2007), no. 1, 119–133. MR2294478 (2007m:46041)

[Di80] J. Diestel, *A survey of results related to the Dunford-Pettis property*, Contemp. Math. 2 (1980), 15–60. MR0621850 (82i:46023)

[GP03] A. Gogatishvili and L. Pick, *Discretization and anti-discretization of rearrangement-invariant norms*, Publ. Mat. 47 (2003), no. 2, 311–358. MR2006487 (2005f:46053)

[GHS96] M. L. Goldman, H. P. Heinig and V. D. Stepanov, *On the principle of duality in Lorentz spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 48 (1996), no. 5, 959–979. MR1414066 (97h:42008)

[GE98] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *The Blocking Technique, Weighted Mean Operators and Hardy's Inequality*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1679, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1998. MR1611898 (99d:26024)

[HS73] J. Hagler and Ch. Stegall, *Banach spaces whose duals contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to $C[0,1]^*$*, J. Funct. Anal. 13 (1973), 233–251. MR0350381 (50 #2874)

[Ja74] A. A. Jagers, *A note on Cesàro sequence spaces,* Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) 22 (1974), 113–124. MR0348444 (50 #942)

[Ka93] N. Kalton, *Lattice structures on Banach spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1993), no. 493, vi+92 pp. MR1145663 (93j:46024)

[KK12] A. Kamińska and D. Kubiak, *On the dual of Cesàro function space*, Nonlinear Analysis 75 (2012), no. 5, 2760–2773. MR2878472 (2012m:46034)

[KM00] A. Kamińska and M. Mastyło, *The Dunford-Pettis property for symmetric spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 52 (2000), no. 4, 789–803. MR1767402 (2001g:46062)

[KA77] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, Nauka, Moscow 1977 (Russian); English transl. Pergamon Press, Oxford-Elmsford, New York 1982. MR0664597 (83h:46002)

[KMS07] R. Kerman, M. Milman and G. Sinnamon, *On the Brudnyĭ-Krugljak duality theory of spaces formed by the K-method of interpolation*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 20 (2007), no. 2, 367–389. MR2351114 (2008g:46034)

[KKL48] B. I. Korenblyum, S. G. Kreĭn and B. Ya. Levin, *On certain nonlinear questions of the theory of singular integrals*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 62 (1948), 17–20 (Russian). MR0027439 (10,306f)

[KR61] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Noordhoff, Groningen 1961. MR0126722 (23 #A4016)

[KPS82] S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1982. MR0649411 (84j:46103)

[LM15a] K. Leśnik and L. Maligranda, *On abstract Cesàro spaces. Duality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 424 (2015), no. 2, 932–951. MR3292709

[LM15b] K. Leśnik and L. Maligranda, *Abstract Cesàro spaces. Optimal range*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 81 (2015), 227–235. MR3299837

[LM16] K. Leśnik and L. Maligranda, *Interpolation of abstract Cesàro, Copson and Tandori spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.), to appear. Preprint of 22 pages submitted on 19 February 2015 at `arXiv:1502.05732v1`.

[Le93] D. H. Leung, *Isomorphism of certain weak L^p spaces*, Studia Math. 104 (1993), no. 2, 151–160. MR1211815 (94b:46041)

[Li04] P.-K. Lin, *Köthe-Bochner Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston 2004. MR2018062 (2005k:46084)

[LT77] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces, I. Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1977. MR0500056 (58 #17766)

[LT79] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces, II. Function Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1979. MR0540367 (81c:46001)

[Lo75] H. P. Lotz, *The Radon-Nikodym property in Banach lattices*, Univ. of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, preprint, 1975.

[LZ66] W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, *Some examples of normed Köthe spaces*, Math. Ann. 162 (1966), 337–350. MR0192314 (33 #540)

[Ma85] L. Maligranda, *Indices and interpolation*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 234 (1985), 1–49. MR0820076

[Ma89] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics 5, University of Campinas, Campinas 1989. MR2264389 (2007e:46025)

[MPS07] L. Maligranda, N. Petrot and S. Suantai, *On the James constant and B-convexity of Cesàro and Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (2007), no. 1, 312–331. MR2277785 (2007m:46023)

[MS06] M. Mastyło and G. Sinnamon, *A Calderón couple of down spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 240 (2006), no. 1, 192–225. MR2259895 (2007i:46021)

[NP10] A. Nekvinda and L. Pick, *Optimal estimates for the Hardy averaging operator*, Math. Nachr. 283 (2010), 262–271. MR2604121 (2011b:47117)

[Pe58] A. Pełczyński, *A. On the isomorphism of the spaces m and M*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 6 (1958), 695–696. MR0102727 (21 #1513)

[Pe60] A. Pełczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 19 (1960), 209–228. MR0126145 (23 #A3441)

[Ru80] Ja. B. Rutickiĭ, *Operators with homogeneous kernels*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 21 (1980), no. 1, 153–160; English transl. in: Siberian Math. J. 21 (1980), no. 1, 113–118. MR0562030 (81j:47017)

[Si94] G. Sinnamon, *Spaces defined by the level function and their duals*, Studia Math. 111 (1994), no. 1, 19–52. MR1292851 (95k:46043)

[Si01] G. Sinnamon, *The level functions in rearrangement invariant spaces*, Publ. Mat. 45 (2001) 175–198. MR1829583 (2002b:46048)

[Si07] G. Sinnamon, *Monotonicity in Banach function spaces*, in: Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, NAFSA 8, vol. 8, Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague 2007, 204–240. MR2657120 (2011f:46033)

[Ta81] M. Talagrand, *Dual Banach lattices and Banach lattices with the Radon-Nikodym property*, Israel J. Math. 38 (1981), 46–50. MR0599474 (82c:46028)

[Ta55] K. Tandori, *Über einen speziellen Banachschen Raum*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 3 (1954), 263–268 (1955). MR0072441 (17,285b)

[Wn93] W. Wnuk, *Banach lattices with properties of the Schur type–a survey*, Confer. Sem. Mat. Univ. Bari 249 (1993), 1–25. MR1230964 (94h:46031)

[Wn99] W. Wnuk, *Banach Lattices with Order Continuous Norms*, Polish Scientific Publishers PWN, Warszawa 1999.

(Sergey V. Astashkin) Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Samara State University, Acad. Pavlova 1, 443011 Samara, Russia, and Samara State Aerospace University (SSAU), Moskovskoye shosse 34, 443086, Samara, Russia

E-mail address: astash@samsu.ru

(Karol Leśnik) Institute of Mathematics, of Electric Faculty Poznań University of Technology, ul. Piotrowo 3a, 60-965 Poznań, Poland

E-mail address: klesnik@vp.pl

(Lech Maligranda) Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87 Luleå, Sweden

E-mail address: lech.maligranda@ltu.se